Insulin secretion-independent effects of GLP-1 on canine liver glucose metabolism do not involve portal vein GLP-1 receptors
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Dardevet, Dominique, Mary Courtney Moore, Catherine A. DiCostanzo, Ben Farmer, Doss W. Neal, Wanda Snead, Margaret Lautz, and Alan D. Cherrington. Insulin secretion-independent effects of GLP-1 on canine liver glucose metabolism do not involve portal vein GLP-1 receptors. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 289: G806–G814, 2005.—Whether glucagon-like peptide (GLP-1) requires the hepatic portal vein to elicit its insulin secretion-independent effects on glucose disposal in vivo was assessed in conscious dogs using tracer and arteriovenous difference techniques. In study 1, six conscious overnight-fasted dogs underwent oral glucose tolerance testing (OGTT) to determine target GLP-1 concentrations during clamp studies. Peak arterial and portal values during OGTT ranged from 23 to 65 pM and from 46 to 113 pM, respectively. In study 2, we conducted hyperinsulinemic-hyperglycemic clamp experiments consisting of three periods (P1, P2, and P3) during which somatostatin, glucagon, insulin and glucose were infused. The control group received saline, the PePe group received GLP-1 (1 pmol·kg\(^{-1}·min^{-1}\)) peripherally (P2) and then intraportally (P3), and the PeHa group received GLP-1 (1 pmol·kg\(^{-1}·min^{-1}\)) peripherally (P2) and then through the hepatic artery (P3) to increase the hepatic GLP-1 load to the same extent as in P3 in the PePe group (n = 8 dogs/group). Arterial GLP-1 levels increased similarly in all groups during P2 (~50 pM), whereas portal GLP-1 levels were significantly increased (2-fold) in the PePo vs. PePe and PeHa groups during P3. During P2, net hepatic glucose uptake (NHGU) increased slightly but not significantly (vs. P1) in all groups. During P3, GLP-1 increased NHGU in the PePo and PeHa groups more than in the control and PePe groups (change of 10.8 ± 1.3 and 10.6 ± 1.0 vs. 5.7 ± 1.0 and 5.4 ± 0.8 pmol·kg\(^{-1}·min^{-1}\), respectively, P < 0.05). In conclusion, physiological GLP-1 levels increase glucose disposal in the liver, and this effect does not involve GLP-1 receptors located in the portal vein.

Recent evidence has established the presence of GLP-1 sensors or receptors in the hepatoporal region (4, 7, 31, 33). Because GLP-1 is secreted into the portal vein and is rapidly degraded in the plasma, the hepatoporal region may play a critical role in the generation of the full effects of GLP-1, especially on the liver, where the presence of GLP-1 receptors is not yet clearly established. This may explain the controversial results regarding GLP-1’s insulin secretion-independent effects in vivo on tissue glucose uptake (9, 10, 13, 20, 34, 36) because the incretin was delivered peripherally, thereby minimizing the role of hepatoporal GLP-1 sensors.

In a previous study (11) in conscious dogs, we demonstrated that supraphysiological levels of GLP-1 could increase hepatic glucose uptake and glycogen synthesis independent of an action on insulin or glucagon secretion. Furthermore, the route of GLP-1 infusion (peripheral or through the portal vein) had no effect on its hepatic action. The dose of GLP-1 used, however, did not allow a conclusion regarding the involvement of the portal vein in GLP-1 action. Indeed, the infusion rate used increased portal GLP-1 concentrations substantially even though the peptide was given peripherally. It is possible therefore that the portal vein GLP-1 level obtained with peripheral infusion saturated the portal vein GLP-1 receptors.

GLP-1 receptors are present on β-cells (39), and there are numerous reports of GLP-1 binding sites on glucose-consuming tissues such as skeletal muscle and fat (14, 41, 43). Most in vitro studies show that GLP-1 stimulates glucose uptake and metabolism in muscle (27, 43, 46) and adipocytes (45), suggesting that GLP-1 can potentially promote glucose disposal directly in vivo in these tissues in addition to its effect on insulin secretion. By contrast, even though GLP-1 has been shown to increase glycogen synthesis in the liver (3), the presence of hepatic GLP-1 binding sites remains controversial (3, 5, 8, 44).

We therefore performed the present study using the conscious dog to assess the involvement of the portal vein in pancreatic hormone secretion-independent effects of physiological levels of GLP-1.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and Surgical Procedures

Experiments were performed on thirty-two 42-h fasted conscious mongrel dogs (20–25 kg) of either sex that had been fed once daily a...
standard meat and chow diet (31% protein, 52% carbohydrate, 11% fat, and 6% fiber based on dry weight, Kal Kan; Vernon, CA; and Purina Lab Canine Diet no. 5006, Purina Mills; St. Louis, MO). The dogs were housed in a facility that met American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care guidelines, and protocols were approved by the Vanderbilt University Medical Center Animal Care Committee. At least 16 days before experimentation, a laparotomy was performed with animals under general anesthesia. Silastic catheters (Dow Corning; Midland, MI) for blood sampling were placed into the portal vein, a hepatic vein, and a femoral artery, and infusion catheters were inserted into a jejunal vein, a splenic vein, and a hepatic artery as previously described (32). Ultrasonic flow probes (Transonic Systems; Ithaca, NY) were placed around the portal vein and hepatic artery. On the day of the experiment, the catheters were exteriorized under local anesthesia, and intravenous access was established in three peripheral veins. Dogs were used for an experiment only if they met established criteria for good health (30).

**Oral Glucose Tolerance Test**

To determine relevant physiological levels of GLP-1, an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed on six 18-h-fasted dogs. After a basal period of 100 min, glucose (1.5 g/kg) was rapidly given orally, and blood samples were withdrawn over the subsequent 3-h period from the femoral artery and portal vein to measure insulin, glucose, and GLP-1 concentrations.

**GLP-1 Infusion Studies**

In each of the four groups (n = 8 dogs each), the protocol consisted of a tracer equilibration period (0–90 min) and a basal period (90–120 min) followed by three test periods of 90 min each (P1 = 120–210 min, P2 = 210–300 min, and P3 = 300–390 min), during which hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia existed (Fig. 1). At time (t) = 0 min, a primed (1.2 μCi/kg), continuous (0.17 μCi/min) infusion of [3-3H]glucose (New England Nuclear; Boston, MA) and a continuous infusion of indocyanine green dye (0.08 mg/min, Sigma Chemical; St. Louis, MO) were started. At t = 120 min, a peripheral infusion of somatostatin (0.8 μg·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\)) was begun to inhibit endogenous pancreatic insulin and glucagon secretion. Intraportal infusions of insulin (1.2 μU·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\)), to achieve hyperinsulinemia (∼3 times the basal arterial levels), and glucagon (0.55 ng·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\)), to maintain basal levels, were also started. A dextrose solution was infused peripherally at variable rates starting at t = 120 min to clamp the arterial plasma glucose level at 12.5 mM. The infusion rate of glucose was adjusted in response to the plasma glucose concentration, which was measured every 5 min. In the two last test periods (210–300 and 300–390 min), the control group received a saline infusion via the saphenous vein, whereas the three other groups received a GLP-1 (7–36) amide infusion at 1.0 pmol·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\) (Bachem Bioscience; King of Prussia, PA). In the PePe group, GLP-1 was infused via a saphenous vein until the end of the experiment (P2 + P3 periods). In the PePo group, GLP-1 was first infused via a saphenous vein for 90 min (P2 period) and then intraportally (at the same rate) for the next 90 min (P3 period). In the PeHa group, GLP-1 was first infused via a saphenous vein for 90 min (P2 period) and then into the hepatic artery (at the same rate) for the next 90 min (P3 period). This infusion rate generated levels of GLP-1 similar to those seen postprandially. Blood was collected on EDTA, and an inhibitor of dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-IV) activity was immediately added to whole blood to preserve the integrity of the GLP-1 (Linco Research; St. Charles, MO).

**Analytical Procedures**

Plasma glucose and glucose radioactivity (\(^3\)H), insulin, glucagon, and blood lactate were measured as previously described (30, 32). Plasma GLP-1 concentrations were determined by an ELISA method (Linco Research) that specifically quantifies the biologically active form of GLP-1.

**Calculations.** Net hepatic substrate balance (NHB) was calculated using the formula \([H \times F_T - (A \times F_A + P \times F_P)]\), where A, P, and H are the arterial, portal vein, and hepatic vein substrate concentrations and \(F_A\), \(F_P\), and \(F_T\) are the hepatic arterial, portal vein, and total hepatic blood or plasma flows (as appropriate), respectively. Hepatic substrate load was calculated as \(A \times F_A + P \times F_P\). Net hepatic fractional extraction (FE) was calculated as NHB/ hepatic load. For all calculated data, plasma glucose concentrations were converted to blood concentrations with factors compiled in our laboratory from extensive data in which plasma and blood glucose values were compared (22). During the basal period, arterial, portal vein, and hepatic vein plasma glucose concentrations were multiplied by 0.74, 0.74, and 0.73 to convert them to blood glucose concentrations, and, during the experimental period, all plasma concentrations were multiplied by 0.73. The use of blood rather than plasma glucose concentrations ensures accurate NHB calculations regardless of the characteristics of glucose entry into the erythrocyte. Sinusoidal hormone concentrations were calculated using the formula \(A + (\text{GLP-1 infusion rate} \times F_A)\). Net hepatic carbon retention (NHCRR), an indicator of the carbon available for glycogen synthesis, was calculated as net hepatic glucose uptake (NHGU) minus net hepatic lactate output. This omitted the contribution of glucogenic substrates other than lactate and also of carbon utilized in hepatic oxidation. With regard to the former, glycerol would not have contributed in a net sense to glycogen synthesis, because lipolysis and gluconeogenic substrates other than lactate and also of carbon utilized in hepatic oxidation. With regard to the former, glycerol would not have contributed in a net sense to glycogen synthesis, because lipolysis...
ysis was inhibited and its net hepatic uptake during the test periods was not different from zero (data not shown). Amino acid uptake did not change throughout the study of Nishizawa et al. (32), which used a protocol identical to the present one, and it would have contributed a maximum of \( \approx 3 \, \text{mmol glucose equivalents} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \) in each protocol. This would have been offset by the hepatic glucose oxidation of \( \approx 3 \, \text{mmol glucose equivalents} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \) that would have been predicted (38). Thus NHCR should provide a reasonable estimate of net hepatic glycogen synthesis.

Statistical Analysis

Data are reported as means of the values for the last 30 min of each experimental period. NHGU, FE, and NHCR are expressed as the difference between the mean values observed during the last 30 min of each experimental period (P1, P2, or P3). Differences (P2 − P1 and P3 − P1) were calculated to assess the specific effect of GLP-1 over the effect achieved by pancreatic hormones and hyperglycemia per se.

Data are expressed as means ± SE and were analyzed by SigmaStat. Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA was used to compare time course data of groups. Classification factors were treatment group and time period. For significant F values, the one-tailed Fishers least-significant difference method test was employed as a post hoc analysis. Differences were considered significant when \( P < 0.05 \).

RESULTS

Physiological GLP-1 Levels in Dogs Given an OGTT

After the OGTT, arterial plasma glucose increased rapidly from 6.3 mmol/l to a peak of 11 mmol/l. Insulin concentrations increased concomitantly with glucose, with arterial concentrations reaching 450 pmol/l (Fig. 2). Plasma glucose and insulin concentrations remained elevated for 2 h and then returned to basal values by 3 h. During the basal period, GLP-1 levels in both the arterial and portal plasma averaged \( \approx 15 \, \text{pM} \). After oral glucose intake, GLP-1 concentrations increased rapidly over 20 min, reaching peak values of 35 ± 7 and 61 ± 13 pM in the artery and portal vein, respectively (Fig. 2). The maximal GLP-1 concentrations in the artery ranged from 23 to 65 pM, and those in the portal vein ranged from 46 to 113 pM. The concentrations in both blood vessels remained above basal for \( \approx 2 \) h after administration of the glucose load, with mean values over that 2-h period being 28 ± 4 pM in the artery and 43 ± 8 pM in the portal vein. As late as 60 min after the glucose load, half of the dogs exhibited portal vein concentrations >60 pM, demonstrating the sustained response. The peak hepatic sinusoidal concentrations were 56 ± 13 pM, with a range of 41–109 pM. The sinusoidal concentrations over the 2-h period after glucose administration averaged 41 ± 7 pM.

Hyperinsulinemic-Hyperglycemic Clamp Studies With or Without GLP-1 Infusion

Hormone concentrations and blood flows. Arterial and hepatic sinusoidal insulin concentrations increased (\( P < 0.05 \)) by a physiological amount during test periods P1, P2, and P3 with no significant differences among the groups (Table 1). No increase of insulin levels in either the artery or portal vein was recorded after GLP-1 infusion, indicating that GLP-1 did not overcome the pancreatic clamp brought about by somatostatin infusion (Table 1). Furthermore, in the control and PeHa groups, C-peptide levels were measured and remained at low values after the initiation of the pancreatic clamp with or without GLP-1 infusion (0.40 ± 0.04 ng/ml before somatostatin infusion to 0.15 ± 0.03 and 0.15 ± 0.01 ng/ml, respectively, during somatostatin infusion). Arterial and hepatic sinusoidal glucagon concentrations remained basal and similar in all groups (Table 1). Clearly, therefore, in the present study,
### Table 1. Hepatic blood flows and plasma insulin, glucagon, and GLP-1 concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Basal</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control PePe PePo PeHa</td>
<td>Control PePe PePo PeHa</td>
<td>Control PePe PePo PeHa</td>
<td>Control PePe PePo PeHa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood flows, ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic artery flow</td>
<td>5.6±0.5</td>
<td>5.1±0.4</td>
<td>5.1±0.5</td>
<td>4.3±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portal vein flow</td>
<td>25.4±2.2</td>
<td>28.5±1.9</td>
<td>26.3±1.9</td>
<td>25.9±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total hepatic blood flow</td>
<td>31.3±2.4</td>
<td>33.8±2.1</td>
<td>31.3±2.0</td>
<td>29.9±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin concentrations, pM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial insulin</td>
<td>53±7</td>
<td>39±6</td>
<td>37±5</td>
<td>46±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portal insulin</td>
<td>130±23</td>
<td>116±13</td>
<td>82±9</td>
<td>106±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic sinusoidal insulin</td>
<td>47±3</td>
<td>32±6</td>
<td>32±5</td>
<td>38±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucagon concentration, ng/l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial glucagon</td>
<td>48±4</td>
<td>43±6</td>
<td>39±5</td>
<td>41±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic sinusoidal glucagon</td>
<td>56±5</td>
<td>60±4</td>
<td>44±5</td>
<td>54±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP concentrations, pM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial GLP-1</td>
<td>3.1±0.8</td>
<td>4.9±1.3</td>
<td>5.2±0.9</td>
<td>6.0±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portal GLP-1</td>
<td>3.7±4.1</td>
<td>6.5±1.5</td>
<td>5.8±1.4</td>
<td>5.8±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic sinusoidal GLP-1</td>
<td>3.6±4.5</td>
<td>6.5±1.7</td>
<td>7.1±1.0</td>
<td>5.9±1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± SE; n = 8 dogs/group. Time periods P1 = 120–210 min, P2 = 210–300 min, and P3 = 300–390 min. No glucagon-like peptide (GLP-1) was infused in the control group. GLP-1 was infused peripherally during P2 and P3 (PePe group), peripherally during P2 and intraportally during P3 (PePo group), and peripherally during P2 and in the hepatic artery during P3 (PeHa group). *P < 0.05 vs. the basal period; †P < 0.05 vs. the PePe group.
combination of hyperinsulinemia and hyperglycemia during the P1 test period switched the liver from net hepatic glucose output to NHGU in all groups (data not shown). During saline infusion, NHGU increased slightly (relative to P1) during P2 and P3 (change of 2.6 ± 0.7 and 5.7 ± 1.0 μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, respectively; Fig. 4A). Peripheral infusion of GLP-1 did not significantly enhance NHGU during P2 in any group (Fig. 4B). NHGU rose slightly in P3 in the control and PePe groups. However, when GLP-1 was infused in the portal vein or hepatic artery (P3), GLP-1 significantly increased NHGU (change of 10.8 ± 1.3 and 10.6 ± 1.0 μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, P < 0.05 relative to the change of 5.70 ± 0.98 and 5.42 ± 0.91 μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ in the control and PePe groups, respectively). Clearly, it made no difference whether GLP-1 was given via the portal vein or hepatic artery.

The change in net hepatic FE of glucose followed the same pattern as the change in NHGU (Fig. 4B). Short-term peripheral GLP-1 infusion did not significantly increase FE in any group. Prolonged peripheral GLP-1 infusions remained without any significant effect on FE, whereas hepatic portal vein and hepatic artery GLP-1 infusions resulted in the same significant increase of FE (change of 1.8 ± 0.3, 1.8 ± 0.2, 3.0 ± 0.5, and 3.0 ± 0.2% for the control, PePe, PePo, and PeHa groups during P3 relative to P1, respectively, P < 0.05 vs. the control and PePe groups).

The mean glucose infusion rate (GIR) required to maintain constant hyperglycemia increased significantly over the experimental periods (Fig. 5). However, no differences between groups were recorded in any test period. When assessed with tracer dilution technique, whole body glucose utilization (Rd) followed the same pattern as GIR (Fig. 5). Rd increased with time in each experimental group, but no differences were recorded between groups at any time point. Endogenous glucose production decreased over the duration of the study in all groups from ~23 μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ before the pancreatic clamp to ~3 μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ in P2 and P3 with no significant difference between groups (data not shown).

Lactate concentrations, net hepatic lactate balance, and glycogen synthesis. After the hyperglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp was initiated, arterial blood lactate concentrations increased and remained constant during the test periods P1, P2, and P3 (from ~0.4 to 0.9 mM) with no significant differences among groups (not shown). Net hepatic lactate balance changed from uptake to output in all groups, and, after a peak during P1 (~8.0 μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹), it declined to a value of ~4.0 μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ in all groups during P2 and P3 (Fig. 6). Concomitantly, combined hyperinsulinemia and hyperglycemia (P1) was associated with NHCR (data not shown). During the peripheral GLP-1 infusion (P2), NHCR was not significantly stimulated in the PePe, PePo, and PeHa groups compared with the control group (P2 – P1: change of 3.7 ± 0.9, 2.8 ± 1.5, 3.8 ± 1.3, and 5.0 ± 0.8 μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ for the control, PePe, PePo, and PeHa groups, respectively; Fig. 6). With prolonged GLP-1 infusion (P3), NHCR further increased in all groups compared with P1, but it was only significantly stimulated over control values (P < 0.05) when GLP-1 was infused into the portal vein or hepatic artery (PePo and PeHa groups, respectively; Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

Previous work performed in our laboratory (11, 32) has shown that GLP-1 is able to increase glucose disposal under hyperglycemic, hyperinsulinemic conditions, independent of

Fig. 4. GLP-1 effects on net hepatic glucose uptake (NHGU) and net hepatic fractional extraction of glucose (FE) of glucose. See Fig. 3 for study conditions. Data are expressed as means ± SE (n = 8 dogs/group) and represent the incremental increase of NHGU and FE between the experimental periods P1, P2, and P3. *P < 0.05 vs. the control group; †P < 0.05 vs. the PePe group.
its well-recognized effects on insulin and glucagon secretion. Furthermore, our data suggested that the insulin secretion-independent effects of GLP-1 were hepatic in origin and were also time dependent, requiring over 90 min to be seen and even longer to become maximal (150–180 min). We also assessed the role of the portal vein in the generation of GLP-1’s effects by comparing the effectiveness of peripheral and intraportal GLP-1 infusion. When infused intraportally, GLP-1 did not increase glucose utilization by the liver to a greater extent than it did when it was infused peripherally at the same rate. The dose of GLP-1 used, however, was probably not optimal, as the portal vein concentration was supraphysiological even when the peptide was given via a peripheral vein. The present study was thus specifically designed to answer the following questions: 1) Does GLP-1 have pancreatic hormone-independent effects at physiological levels? and 2) Do these effects require the involvement of GLP-1 receptors located within the portal vein?

In the present study, we were successful in achieving physiological concentrations of GLP-1 (i.e., concentrations that fell in the range of those exhibited by dogs receiving an OGTT) in all blood vessels tested, regardless of the route of GLP-1 infusion. All of the concentrations during the clamp studies were within 2 SD of the mean concentrations evident during OGTT. In addition, we were successful in achieving a gradient of portal and sinusoidal GLP-1 concentrations among the groups, as designed. The arterial concentrations were equivalent during P3 among the PePe, PePo, and PeHa groups, but the PePo group exhibited a significant elevation of portal GLP-1. Additionally, the PePo and PeHa groups exhibited a significant and equivalent elevation of sinusoidal GLP-1 compared with the PePe group.

Our results clearly show that physiological levels of GLP-1 stimulated NGHGU when delivered intraportally, whereas no increase of NGHGU was noted with the peripheral infusion. However, by maintaining the same concentration (~80 pM) of GLP-1 reaching the liver in the presence or absence of an increase in the portal vein GLP-1 level, we were able to show that the activation of GLP-1 receptors in the portal vein was not responsible for the effect on the liver. The net hepatic FE of glucose and the glycogen synthesis data are in agreement with NHGU. Thus it is clear that GLP-1 receptors located within the portal vein are not playing a critical role in the insulin secretion-independent effects of GLP-1 on the liver that we have observed. It is evident, however, that hepatic sinusoidal GLP-1 can play a role in the control of glucose metabolism by the liver. Recent reports suggest that the hepatopetal area is of special importance in physiological actions of GLP-1 (4, 7, 24, 25). For example, Nakabayashi et al. (31) reported that intraportal GLP-1 infusion, at a physiological dose, stimulated afferent vagal nerve activity in rats. This activation, in turn, stimulated efferent signaling in the pancreatic branch of the vagus nerve, suggesting a neural component of GLP-1’s stimulation of insulin secretion. Burcelin et al. (7) showed in mice that the GLP-1 receptor is part of hepatopetal glucose sensing and that basal fasting levels of GLP-1 sufficiently activate the receptor to confer maximum glucose competence to the sensor. Indeed, when GLP-1 was infused intraportally with glucose, it had no effect on the well-known activation of the portal signal, which leads to an increase of glucose clearance. However, if coinfused with the GLP-1 receptor antagonist exendin(9–39), intraportal glucose delivery was not associated with increased glucose clearance, and thus the portal glucose signal was ablated (7). Our findings are in general agreement with these previous reports, because there was no differentiation between the hepatopetal region and the liver itself in at least some of those reports (e.g., Refs. 7 and 25).

Hepatic glucose metabolism was sensitive to GLP-1 at concentrations in the range seen in the postprandial state. We define postprandial concentrations as ~25–65 pM (arterial) or 45–110 pM (portal or sinusoidal) based on our OGTT data. Both peptones and some fatty acids apparently stimulate GLP-1 secretion (16, 17, 21), but data obtained in humans indicate that GLP-1 concentrations after mixed meals are similar to those we observed after an OGTT (35). By compiling the data from our previous work and the present study (Fig. 7), it can be seen that NHGU is linearly related to hepatic sinusoidal GLP-1 in the physiological range, up to at least 100 pM. It is also evident, however, that the direct effect of GLP-1 on the liver under the conditions of our study was small. It remains to be determined whether GLP-1 would be of greater significance in the presence of portal vein glucose delivery. In one study carried out in dogs, GLP-1 (at a rate 3-fold that used in our present investigation) and glucose were administered concurrently via the portal vein; circulating glucose concentrations were reduced with GLP-1 plus portal glucose vs. portal glucose alone, without an enhancement of insulin concentrations (25). No organ balance data were included in that report, however, making it impossible to tell if GLP-1’s effect included an increase in liver glucose uptake.

**Fig. 6.** Net hepatic lactate balance and net hepatic carbon retention (NHCR). See Fig. 3 for study conditions. Data are means ± SE (n = 8 dogs/group) for each test period: basal = 90–120 min, P1 = 120–210 min, P2 = 210–300 min, and P3 = 300–390 min. *P < 0.05 vs. the control group; †P < 0.05 vs. the PePe group.
GLP-1 AND HEPATIC PORTAL VEIN

Whether or not GLP-1 has a synergistic relationship with portally delivered (or intestinally absorbed) nutrients, there are at least two factors that could limit its physiological impact on hepatic glucose metabolism: the time course of its action and its rapid degradation. As we (32) have previously suggested, the effect of GLP-1 on liver glucose uptake may increase with time. In the present study, the enhancement of NHGU was prompt (~30–60 min) when the infusion route was switched from a peripheral vein to the portal vein or hepatic artery. Even though the PePe group exhibited no enhancement in NHGU during P3 (compared with the control group), it is impossible to rule out the possibility of an interaction between the duration of infusion and the route of delivery. In the investigation mentioned above in which dogs received intraportal GLP-1 infusion, the effect of GLP-1 to lower circulating glucose concentrations was slow, requiring at least 120 min (25). Nevertheless, the absorptive period after a mixed meal lasts for 30–60 min) when the infusion route was switched prompt (3, 5, 8, 44). For example, based on the lack of cAMP production by GLP-1 in hepatocytes, Blackmore et al. (5) concluded GLP-1 receptors were absent in the liver. However, several studies have shown that in the liver and skeletal muscle, GLP-1 seems to act through specific receptors, different in structure and signaling pathways from those in the pancreas, which are cAMP associated. Second messengers such as inositol phosphoglycan or phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate have been proposed (18, 23, 28, 40). In a more recent study (1), activation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, protein kinase B, and mitogen-activated protein kinases has been shown to be involved in the action of GLP-1 upon glycogen synthase a activity in rat skeletal muscle. Taken together, these observations are in favor of the existence of different GLP-1 receptor types on β-cells and other tissues, and this could explain the controversy over the presence of GLP-1 receptor mRNA in the liver based on the GLP-1 receptor sequence.

In conclusion, GLP-1, at physiological levels, significantly increased NHGU and hepatic glycogen synthesis. This effect was independent of insulin or glucagon secretion and apparently did not involve GLP-1 receptors located in the portal vein. Our study supports the presence of GLP-1 receptors in the liver. On the basis of the magnitude of the effect we observed, it seems unlikely that GLP-1’s direct effect on the liver plays a major role in its physiological action. This does not preclude the possibility of a pharmacological benefit, e.g., stimulation of postprandial NHGU and hepatic glycogen synthesis in individuals with impaired glucose tolerance or Type 2 diabetes by administration of a stable GLP-1 analog or a...
peptidase inhibitor. GLP-1 may thus play a therapeutic role in the control of postprandial hyperglycemia.
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