Acidity and Acidosis in the Gastrointestinal Tract

Acidity in the stomach and adjacent gut regions. Ever since the landmark paper “On the nature of acid and saline matters usually existing in the stomach of animals” was presented by William Prout to the Royal Society of London on December 11, 1823, the stomach has been known as a highly productive source of acid in the foregut. It is one of the most remarkable physiological achievements that the parietal cells can secrete hydrochloric acid (HCl) to yield a proton (H⁺) concentration in the gastric lumen that is more than six orders of magnitude higher than in the interstitial space of the gastric lamina propria. Thus the average diurnal pH in the empty human stomach is around 1.5 (25).

Most tissues would rapidly disintegrate if exposed to this concentration of HCl, yet gastric acid is essential for the digestive breakdown of food and elimination of ingested pathogens. The autoaggressive potential of HCl is kept in check by an elaborate network of mucosal defense mechanisms. The autoaggressive potential of HCl is kept in check by an elaborate network of mucosal defense mechanisms and the functional compartmentalization of the esophagogastrroduodenal region (Fig. 1). Both strategies require an acid surveillance mechanism to maintain homeostasis. Deviations from physiological conditions are surveyed by an elaborate network of acid-governed mechanisms to maintain homeostasis. Deviations from physiological values of extracellular pH are monitored by multiple acid sensors expressed by epithelial cells and sensory neurons. Acid-sensing ion channels are activated by moderate acidification, whereas transient receptor potential ion channels of the vanilloid subtype are gated by severe acidosis. Some ionotropic purinoceptor ion channels and two-pore domain background K⁺ channels are also sensitive to alterations of extracellular pH.

Acidity and acidosis are active agents in the pathophysiological processes that are not limited to the adverse actions of gastric acid. Adequate acid sensing, therefore, is essential for the maintenance of homeostasis in the GI tract, whereas inappropriate acid sensing might contribute to inflammatory and ulcerative disturbances of the mucosa and to functional abdominal pain syndromes.

Acid Sensing-Dependent Processes in the Gastrointestinal Tract

Feedback control of gastric acid secretion. The secretion of gastric acid at highly toxic concentrations requires a tight control of its production according to need. Acid sensing may be achieved directly through molecular acid sensors or indirectly via mediators that are formed in response to luminal acidification. The major inhibitory regulator of gastric acid secretion is an increase in intragastric acidity. A decrease of luminal pH below 3.0 has a concentration-dependent inhibitory influence on HCl and gastrin secretion, and at pH 1.0 further acid output is abolished (35). The major mediator of this feedback inhibition is somatostatin, which via paracrine and endocrine pathways inhibits parietal cell function both directly and indirectly via reduction of gastrin secretion (35). It awaits to be examined in which way the somatostatin-producing endocrine D cells sense acidity in the gastric lumen. There is evidence that the activity of D cells is indirectly governed by acid-sensitive primary afferent neurons in the gastric mucosa.
Luminal acidification excites their nerve terminals in the lamina propria and causes a local release of calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP). CGRP, in turn, stimulates D cells and inhibits acid secretion via the somatostatin pathway (10).

**Esophagogastroduodenal mucosal function.** Exposure of the esophageal, gastric, and duodenal mucosa to excess acid elicits protective mechanisms including hyperemia (10). Focusing on the duodenum, which is continuously exposed to gastric acid emptied from the stomach, recent studies have revealed that maintenance of mucosal integrity is critically dependent on the levels of acid present in the esophagus, stomach, and duodenum that adjust the tone of the LES and pyloric sphincter to balance gastric acid is kept in check not only by mucosal defense mechanisms but also by compartmentalization of the esophagogastroduodenal region (Fig. 1). The latter strategy is to restrict the presence of high acid concentrations to the stomach, the mucosa of which is most resistant to intrusion by H⁺, and to precisely control H⁺ passage from the stomach to the duodenum through coordinated activity of the lower esophageal (LES) and pyloric sphincters. Both sphincters are under the control of neural reflexes involving acid-sensitive neurons that adjust the tone of the LES and pyloric sphincter to balance the levels of acid present in the esophagus, stomach, and duodenum with the mucosal defense mechanisms in these compartments (11, 14).

The pyloric sphincter controls gastric emptying and ensures that the acidified gastric contents are delivered to the duodenum at a rate that enables this most proximal region of the small intestine to cope with the imposed acid load. If too much gastric acid enters the duodenum, a duodenopylorogastric reflex is elicited, which leads to contraction of the pylorus and inhibition of gastric motor activity, effects that halt further gastric emptying. These coordinated motor reactions are governed by acid-sensitive neurons that, in turn, activate multiple neural circuits involving enteric, sympathetic, and vagal nerve pathways (11, 14).

The LES ensures that gastric acid does not reflux into the esophagus and cause damage of the esophageal mucosa. Contraction of the LES, on the one hand, prevents reflux of gastric acid, whereas relaxation of the LES, on the other hand, is necessary to allow esophageal clearance. There is evidence that the activity of the LES is determined by two different motor programs initiated by the presence of acid in the esophagus.

Excess luminal H⁺ combines with secreted HCO₃⁻ to yield CO₂ and H₂O. CO₂ is much more membrane permeant than H⁺ and can easily traverse the apical plasma membrane. Subsequently, CO₂ is hydrated with the help of carbonic anhydrase to carbonic acid, which dissociates into HCO₃⁻ and H⁺.

HCO₃⁻, in turn, exits through the plasma membrane via an anion-exchange process (1, 2, 26).

Experimental evidence indicates that the transient receptor potential (TRP) ion channel of vanilloid (TRPV) type 1 (TRPV1) plays a role as acid sensor for signaling mucosal hyperemia in the duodenum in response to luminal acidification. Since TRPV1, however, is located on sensory nerve terminals in the lamina propria behind the epithelium, the mucosal acid signal must be transduced across the epithelium. This transepithelial pathway likewise involves diffusion of CO₂ into the epithelial cells, hydration to H⁺ and HCO₃⁻, intracellular acidification, and exit of H⁺ via the basolateral sodium-proton exchanger of type 1 (NHE1) (1, 26). As a result, interstitial pH is lowered, which activates TRPV1-bearing sensory nerve terminals that release the potent vasodilator peptide CGRP.

**Esophagogastroduodenal motility.** The aggressive potential of gastric acid is kept in check not only by mucosal defense mechanisms but also by compartmentalization of the esophagogastroduodenal region (Fig. 1). The latter strategy is to restrict the presence of high acid concentrations to the stomach, the mucosa of which is most resistant to intrusion by H⁺, and to precisely control H⁺ passage from the stomach to the duodenum through coordinated activity of the lower esophageal (LES) and pyloric sphincters. Both sphincters are under the control of neural reflexes involving acid-sensitive neurons that adjust the tone of the LES and pyloric sphincter to balance the levels of acid present in the esophagus, stomach, and duodenum with the mucosal defense mechanisms in these compartments (11, 14).

The pyloric sphincter controls gastric emptying and ensures that the acidified gastric contents are delivered to the duodenum at a rate that enables this most proximal region of the small intestine to cope with the imposed acid load. If too much gastric acid enters the duodenum, a duodenopylorogastric reflex is elicited, which leads to contraction of the pylorus and inhibition of gastric motor activity, effects that halt further gastric emptying. These coordinated motor reactions are governed by acid-sensitive neurons that, in turn, activate multiple neural circuits involving enteric, sympathetic, and vagal nerve pathways (11, 14).

The LES ensures that gastric acid does not reflux into the esophagus and cause damage of the esophageal mucosa. Contraction of the LES, on the one hand, prevents reflux of gastric acid, whereas relaxation of the LES, on the other hand, is necessary to allow esophageal clearance. There is evidence that the activity of the LES is determined by two different motor programs initiated by the presence of acid in the esophagus.

### Table 1. Luminal pH profile along the human gastrointestinal tract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proximal esophagus</td>
<td>&gt;6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal esophagus</td>
<td>&gt;5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileum</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecum</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from Modlin and Sachs (25) and Fallingborg (8).
Acidification of the distal esophagus, mimicking reflux of gastric acid, causes contraction of the LES through an enteric neural reflex and thus prevents further acid reflux. In contrast, administration of acid into the more proximal esophagus triggers a motor program that facilitates the clearance of the esophagus. Thus acid promotes esophageal peristalsis, accelerates its transport toward the stomach, and leads to relaxation of the LES (11). Acid-induced esophagitis enhances this inhibitory pathway to the LES, and it is tempting to hypothesize that hypersensitivity of this inhibitory LES reflex mechanism is a pathogenetic factor in the impaired LES function associated with GERD (11).

Acid-induced pain and hyperalgesia. Investigations in somatic tissues have established that acidosis is extremely painful and, in addition, sensitizes afferent nerve fibers to mechanical stimulation (20). Similar observations have been made in the GI tract, where acid causes sensitization of mechanosensitive afferent pathways from the esophagus and stomach (6, 24).

Acidosis is thought to be an important factor in inflammatory hyperalgesia (20), and exposure of the mouse colon to an acidic mixture of inflammatory mediators likewise enhances afferent nerve responses to stretch (17). Although acid is known to contribute to the pain associated with GERD, dyspepsia, and peptic ulcer, it is less well understood whether acid also plays a role in the pain associated with functional bowel disorders such as noncardiac chest pain, functional dyspepsia, and irritable bowel syndrome as well as in functional abdominal pain syndrome. Recent experimental studies have shed some light on the identity of the molecular acid sensors and neural pathways that underlie acid-evoked nociception particularly in the rodent foregut.

These studies indicate that HCl is a noxious stimulus that following penetration through the mucosal barrier contributes to pain arising from the esophagus, stomach, and upper small intestine. Intramucosal acidosis can be induced by exposure of the rat or mouse gastric lumen to supraphysiological HCl concentrations that create a transmucosal H+ gradient high enough to drive H+ into the lamina propria. Accordingly, intragastric administration of HCl at concentrations of 0.15–0.5 M to conscious rats elicits a visceromotor response indicative of pain (22) and causes many neurons in the nucleus of the solitary tract in the rat brain stem to express c-Fos, a marker of neuronal excitation (34). The gastric HCl-evoked visceromotor reaction and medullary c-Fos response are suppressed by vagotomy, but not transection of the sympathetic nerve supply to the stomach, which indicates that gastric HCl-evoked nociception depends critically on the integrity of the vagal afferent innervation (22, 34).

Experimentally induced gastritis or gastric ulceration enhances the visceromotor response to intragastric administration of excess acid and gastric distension (22, 38). Further analysis has shown that gastric ulceration increases the pH sensitivity and alters the kinetics of acid-induced currents in vagal and spinal afferent neurons innervating the stomach (38). These observations indicate that inflammation and injury of the rat gastric mucosa enhance the signaling and perception of acid-induced pain.

Clinically, it is well established that ischemia can be painful, and this is also true for colonic ischemia and ischemic colitis, which are typically associated with abdominal pain (36). Transient clamping of mesenteric blood vessels in the anesthetized rat likewise gives rise to pseudoaffective blood pressure reactions indicative of pain (16). In this context it may be reasoned that pain due to extensive distension of hollow viscera may in part be due to ischemia. Transient distension of the rat stomach by intraluminal pressures in excess of 30 mmHg triggers pseudoaffective pain responses in a pressure-dependent manner (22). The blood supply of the distended segment will be gradually interrupted once the intraluminal pressure becomes equal to the blood pressure in the blood vessels supplying the distended segment. Indirect support for a role of ischemia-induced acidosis in distension-evoked pain has come from the findings that genetic knockout of certain molecular acid sensors ameliorates mechanical nociception in the GI tract (17, 30).

### Molecular Acid Sensors

It has long been known that sensory neurons respond to acidification of their environment, and analysis of the acid-induced currents has provided an early hint at the existence of specific H+ receptors (20). Acid excites sensory neurons projecting to the GI tract, most probably by a direct action on the neurons (38), although an indirect action via neuroactive factors released by H+ in the tissue has not been ruled out. The former possibility is supported by the discovery that primary afferent neurons originating from the dorsal root (DRG; spinal afferents) and nodose ganglia (vagal afferents) express cation channels that can operate as molecular acid sensors (Fig. 2). Apart from these extrinsic sensory neurons, there are also intrinsic primary afferent neurons that originate in the enteric nervous system and supply enteric nerve circuits with the information necessary for the physiological control of digestion. Although intrinsic primary afferent neurons are sensitive to acidosis (3), the molecular acid sensors on enteric neurons have been much less studied than those expressed by extrinsic primary afferent neurons.

From the pertinent studies it has become clear that no single molecular probe alone accounts for the acid sensitivity of afferent neurons. There is in fact a redundancy of molecular acid sensors, which signifies that homeostasis in the face of acidosis is physiologically so important that multiple mechanisms of acid sensing have evolved. Some of the acid-sensitive ion channels are upregulated in GI inflammation and hyperalgesia (12, 13, 23), which implies that neural acid sensors could be targets for novel therapies of chronic abdominal pain.

ASICs. The acid-sensing ion channels (ASICs) belong to the voltage-insensitive, amiloride-sensitive epithelial Na+ channel/degenerin family of cation channels (12, 19, 21). The H+-gated members of this family are encoded by three different genes, ASIC1, ASIC2, and ASIC3, with ASIC1 and ASIC2 each having alternative splice variants termed ASIC1a, ASIC1b, ASIC2a, and ASIC2b. Typical of the membrane topology of ASICs is the presence of two transmembrane domains and a large extracellular loop (Fig. 2). Functional channels are made up of different ASIC subunits which form homo- or heteromultimeric channels that differ in their pH sensitivity and pharmacological properties (21). ASIC3 is the subunit that displays the highest acid sensitivity and, when activated, generates a biphasic current that consists of a fast inactivating and a sustained component (21). ASIC2b, which is inactive as a homomultimer, forms functional heteromultimers with other ASIC subunits, particularly ASIC3, and the
H⁺-gated current in DRG neurons most closely resembles that generated by activation of ASIC2b/ASIC3 heteromultimers (21).

Whereas ASIC1 and ASIC2 are widely distributed in the nervous system, ASIC3 (previously termed DRASIC for dorsal root ASIC) is restricted to primary afferent neurons. Most ASIC subunits have been localized to both nodose ganglion and DRG neurons of the rat and mouse, although to different degrees (12, 21, 29, 30, 33, 38). Retrograde tracing has revealed that 75% of the nodose ganglion neurons and 82% of the DRG neurons projecting to the rat stomach express ASIC3 (33).

The role of ASICs in acid sensing within the GI tract has not yet been extensively studied, with the exception of one study in the mouse stomach. Thus the afferent input from the acid-threatened stomach to the brain stem, as visualized by c-Fos expression in the nucleus of the solitary tract, has been found unaltered in ASIC3 knockout mice but significantly enhanced in ASIC2 knockout mice (15). It would appear, therefore, that ASIC3 does not contribute to the acid sensing of vagal afferent neurons in the normal stomach, probably because of a redundancy in the molecular acid sensors expressed by these neurons. ASIC3, however, plays a major role in the inflammatory hyperresponsiveness of the vagal afferent-brain stem axis, since the effect of gastritis to enhance the gastric acid-evoked expression of c-Fos in the brain stem is abolished in ASIC2 knockout mice but fully preserved in ASIC3 knockout mice (15). Thus, whereas ASIC3 is relevant to gastritis-evoked acid hypersensitivity, ASIC2 appears to dampen acid-evoked afferent input from the stomach to the brain stem.

The implication of ASIC3 in inflammatory hyperresponsiveness to acid challenge of the mouse stomach could be taken to hypothesize that the expression and/or function of ASICs is altered in inflammation and hyperalgesia. This is true for experimental ulceration in the rat stomach, which leads to changes in the kinetics of ASIC-like currents in both DRG and nodose ganglion neurons (38). Importantly, the expression of ASIC3, but not ASIC1 and ASIC2, is upregulated in the colonic mucosa of patients with inflammatory bowel disease (12). Anti-inflammatory drugs such as aspirin, diclofenac, and flurbiprofen counteract the upregulation of ASICs caused by experimental inflammation and inhibit ASIC currents in afferent neurons (12, 21). The elucidation of ASIC function in health and disease will greatly depend on the availability of selective ASIC inhibitors, which, in addition, could turn out to be novel therapeutics in pathological conditions driven by ASIC overexpression or hyperactivity.

It is currently emerging that ASICs also play a role in GI mechanoreceptor function, because mechanotransduction in GI afferent neurons is differentially altered in ASIC1, ASIC2, and ASIC3 knockout mice. Deletion of ASIC1 increases mechanosensitivity in gastroesophageal vagal and colonic spinal afferent neurons, whereas ASIC2 knockout has variable effects on the different classes of GI mechanoreceptors, and ASIC3 deletion invariably reduces mechanosensitivity (17, 29, 30). In view of these reports it is tempting to speculate that subcellular acidosis is a link in the mechanotransduction process. A further issue that may be relevant to the outcome of in vivo studies with ASIC knockout mice is the possibility that mechanical stimulation (stretch, distension) induces ischemia and that ischemia-induced acidosis is a factor in the mechanotransduction process. This argument finds support in the experimental findings that lactate that is generated during ischemia sensitizes ASICs to acid and that ASIC3 contributes to ischemic heart and muscle pain (12, 21).

TRPVs. TRP channels have evolved as an ancient sensory apparatus of the cell, responding to temperature, touch, sound, osmolarity, pH, and various chemical messengers (5). Among the TRP channels it is particularly TRPV1, also known as the “capsaicin receptor,” and TRPV4 that respond to acidosis (12,
13). Structurally they are typified by three ankyrin repeats in the NH2 terminus, six transmembrane domains, and an extracellular reentrant pore loop between transmembrane domain 5 and 6 (Fig. 2). Like other TRP channels, functional TRPV channels are thought to be homo- and heterotetramers that operate as nonselective cation channels with high permeability for Ca2+ (5).

Unlike ASIC3, which responds to mild acidosis, TRPV1 and TRPV4 are activated only if the extracellular pH is reduced to values below 6, in which case a sustained channel current is generated (4, 12, 13). Apart from H+, noxious heat, vanilloids such as capsaicin and resiniferatoxin, and some arachidonic acid-derived lipid mediators can also gate TRPV1 (4, 12, 13). Importantly, mild acidosis (pH 7–6) is able to sensitize TRPV1 to other stimuli such as capsaicin and heat and to lower its temperature threshold such that the channel becomes active at normal body temperature (39). Whereas H+ targets an extracellular domain of TRPV1, the vanilloid and arachidonic acid-derived agonists bind to an intracellular site of the channel (4, 12, 13).

TRPV1-positive nerve fibers occur in mucosa, musculature, and enteric nerve plexuses of the rat, guinea pig, and mouse gut. Since enteric neurons usually do not stain for TRPV1, it follows that the TRPV1-positive nerve fibers in the GI tract represent processes of spinal afferents and, in the stomach, of some vagal afferents (13, 33). Further analysis has revealed that the majority of nodose ganglion neurons projecting to the stomach and of DRG neurons projecting to the gut of rats express TRPV1 (13, 33). It remains to be elucidated whether the TRPV1-like immunoreactivity, which some investigators have seen in guinea pig, porcine, and human enteric neurons and rat gastric epithelial cells (13), is authentic TRPV1 or represents a nonfunctional protein such as TRPV1-β derived from alternative splicing of the trpv1 gene (40).

There is increasing evidence that TRPV1 and, to a much lesser extent, TRPV4 are acid sensors relevant to GI homeostasis and pain, although further work in this respect is needed. Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings from DRG and nodose ganglion neurons innervating the rat stomach have shown that acidosis induces currents that can to a variable degree be attributed to the gating of ASICs and TRPV1 (38). The pH sensitivity and other parameters of these currents are distinctly altered after experimental induction of gastric ulcers (38). Genetic deletion of TRPV1 reduces the responsiveness of jejunal afferent neurons to acid and distension, similar effects being seen with the TRPV1 blocker capsazepine (32). The mechanosensitivity of muscular and mucosal afferents from the mouse colon is likewise reduced in TRPV1 knockout mice (17), and pharmacological blockade of TRPV1 attenuates the visceromotor pain response to intraperitoneal administration of acetic acid (13).

TRPV1-expressing spinal afferent neurons play an important role in the rise of mucosal blood flow that occurs in response to acid backdiffusion in the rat stomach and duodenum (10). TRPV1 appears to be involved in the duodenal hyperemia since it is attenuated by the TRPV1 blocker capsazepine, whereas the gastric hyperemia is left unaltered by capsazepine (1, 13). In contrast, the acid-evoked secretion of duodenal HCO3− is left unchanged by capsazepine (18), which is consistent with the concept that acid-stimulated production of HCO3− takes place within the epithelial cells (26).

Further studies indicate that TRPV1 contributes to the hypersensitivity of DRG neurons caused by administration of inflammatory mediators to the mouse colon. Thus the 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT)-induced sensitization of these neurons to acid, capsaicin, and heat is absent in TRPV1 knockout mice (37). The effect of 5-HT to sensitize colonic DRG neurons is mediated by metabotropic 5-HT2 and 5-HT4 receptors that appear to enhance TRPV1 activity by downstream phosphorylation pathways (37). Furthermore, TRPV1 contributes to the effect of an acidic inflammatory soup containing 5-HT, histamine, bradykinin, and prostaglandin E2 to sensitize muscular afferent nerve fibers in the mouse colon to stretch, because this process is absent in TRPV1 knockout mice (17).

Taken together, these findings point to a role of TRPV1 in afferent neuron hypersensitivity, which is in keeping with the ability of many proalgesic mediators to enhance TRPV1 activity (4, 13). This conjecture is further supported by the findings that TRPV1-like immunoreactivity is upregulated in esophagitis and painful inflammatory bowel disease as well as rectal hypersensitivity and fecal urgency (12, 13, 23). As a consequence, blockade of TRPV1 activity is currently explored as a strategy to treat abdominal hyperalgesia, and a large variety of TRPV1 blockers has been developed (13). However, a causal involvement of TRPV1 in abdominal hyperalgesia has not yet been proved nor is it known whether acidosis sensed by TRPV1 is a relevant factor in this instance.

Ionotropic purinoceptor ion channels. P2X purinoceptors are ligand-gated membrane cation channels that open when extracellular ATP is bound. They are assembled as homo- or heteromultimers of various subunits, seven of which (P2X1–P2X7) have been identified at the gene and protein level (7, 27). Structurally, all P2X subunits are characterized by a very long extracellular polyepitope loop between two transmembrane domains (Fig. 2). The P2X receptors on nodose ganglion and DRG neurons supplying the gut comprise homomultimeric P2X2 and P2X3 as well as heteromultimeric P2X2/3 receptors (7). Since the time course and kinetics of the ATP-gated channel currents differ fundamentally between the various homo- and heteromultimeric P2X receptors, the P2X-mediated currents vary with the P2X subunit distribution in spinal and vagal sensory neurons.

The activity of most P2X subunits is modulated by alterations of the extracellular pH (12). Although the potency of ATP to gate homomultimeric P2X1, P2X3, P2X4, P2X6, and P2X7 receptors is reduced by mild acidification, homomultimeric P2X2 receptors are sensitized to ATP (7, 12, 27). Since only P2X2 homomultimers and heteromultimers involving P2X2 (P2X2/3, P2X2/6, and P2X2/7) are sensitized by acid, it is primarily P2X2-containing purinoceptors that can function as indirect acid sensors in the presence of ATP (12). This scenario may be of pathophysiological significance since ATP is liberated from a number of cellular sources in the gut in response to both physiological and pathological stimuli. Whether P2X receptors play a role in GI pain has not yet been ascertained, although ATP can excite vagal and mesenteric afferents and inflammatory bowel disease is associated with an increase in the number of P2X3 receptors on nerve fibers and myenteric neurons in the colon (7, 12). Pharmacological blockade of P2X3 and P2X2/3 receptors has been reported to suppress the nociceptive behavior provoked by intraperitoneal injection of acetic acid in mice (12).
Acid-sensitive two-pore domain potassium channels. Two-pore (or tandem-pore) domain potassium channels (KCNK) possess four transmembrane segments, two pore-forming loops, and a large extracellular linker region between transmembrane domain 1 and the first pore-forming loop (Fig. 2) (9, 12, 31). Acid modulates the activity of several KCNK family members including TWIK (tandem of pore domains in weak inward rectifier K⁺ channel), TREK (TWIK-related K⁺ channel), TASK (TWIK-related acid-sensitive K⁺ channel), TALK (TWIK-related alkaline pH-activated K⁺ channel), and TRAAK (TWIK-related arachidonic acid-stimulated K⁺ channel). All KCNK channels seem to be made up as dimers, primarly homodimers, although the formation of functional heterodimers (such as TASK-1/TASK-3) has also been reported (9, 12, 31). Many KCNK channels are thought to be background channels that are independent of membrane voltage, constitutively active, and nonactivating. The resulting “leak” currents play a role in setting the resting membrane potential as well as membrane input resistance and, consequently, the excitability of neurons (9, 12, 31).

TASK channels are extremely sensitive to variations in the extracellular pH in a narrow physiological range. The channels are blocked by very small increases in the extracellular concentration of H⁺ (9, 12, 31). Although TASK inhibition will not per se result in nerve traffic, it is likely to facilitate nerve activity evoked by other stimuli and hence indirectly encode the presence of acid. Other KCNK members such as TWIK-1, TWIK-2, TREK-1, TREK-2, and TRAAK respond to changes in intracellular pH (12, 31). Although any functional implication of KCNK channels in the neural acid surveillance of the GI tract awaits to be proven, various levels of TASK-1, TASK-2, TASK-3, TWIK-1, TWIK-2, TREK-1, TREK-2, and TRAAK mRNA and protein have been localized to DRG neurons and the gut (12).

Conclusions

Acid sensing is of paramount importance to GI homeostasis, because there are huge variations in the intraluminal pH along the alimentary canal and the survival of epithelial cells requires maintenance of intracellular pH in a narrow physiological range. This situation is met by an elaborate network of mechanisms controlling extra- and intracellular pH, in which a redundant array of molecular acid sensors plays a critical role. In the foregut, acid detectors on epithelial cells and sensory neurons govern mucosal defense and motor programs according to the intraluminal and interstitial pH. If there is any impending danger to tissue integrity, they signal for measures that seek to minimize any injury excess acid may cause. Throughout the GI tract acidosis may occur as a result of inflammation, ischemia, overdistension of the GI wall, malignant tumor growth, or disturbances in the microbial flora.

To cope with these challenges, there is a multitude of molecular acid sensors that survey a wide pH range from acidic to alkaline environments. Although ASICs and acid-sensitive TRP channels are directly gated by deviations from the physiological pH in the extracellular space, pH-dependent alterations of P2X purinoceptor and KCNK channel activity modulate cell excitability, sensitivity, and function. Nearly all of these acid sensors occur in primary sensory neurons supplying the gut, and there is good reason to hypothesize that they are relevant to GI function in health and disease.

Some acid sensors behave as polymodal nociceptors that are able to detect sensory modalities other than acidosis. Particularly worth noting is the property of ASICs and TRPV1 to play a role in mechanoreception, which raises the question whether subcellular acidosis plays a role in mechanotransduction. The finding that TRPV1, ASIC3, and P2X3 are upregulated in GI inflammation and hypersensitivity suggests that aberrant function of molecular acid sensors may contribute to abdominal hyperalgesia and pain. Although this conjecture identifies molecular acid sensors as emerging drug targets for the management of functional bowel disorders, it must not be neglected that interference with the function of molecular acid sensors may have a deleterious impact on GI acid homeostasis.
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