Nuclear receptors RXRα:RARα are repressors for human MRP3 expression
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Chen W, Cai SY, Xu S, Denson LA, Soroka CJ, Boyer JL. Nuclear receptors RXRα:RARα are repressors for human MRP3 expression. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 292: G1221–G1227, 2007. First published February 1, 2007; doi:10.1152/ajpgi.00191.2006.—Multidrug resistance-associated protein MRP3/Mrp3 (ABCC3) is upregulated in cholestasis, an adaptive response that may protect the liver from accumulation of toxic compounds, such as bile salts and bilirubin conjugates. However, the mechanism of this upregulation is poorly understood. We and others have previously reported that fetoprotein transcription factor/liver receptor homolog-1 is an activator of MRP3/Mrp3 expression. In searching for additional regulatory elements in the human MRP3 promoter, we have now identified nuclear receptor retinoic X receptor-α:retinoic acid receptor-α (RXRα:RARα) as a repressor of MRP3 activation by transcription factor Sp1. A luciferase reporter assay demonstrated that cotransfection of transcription factor Sp1 stimulates the MRP3 promoter activity and that additions of RXRα:RARα abrogated this activation in a dose-dependent manner. Site mutations and gel shift assays have identified a Sp1 binding GC box motif at −113 to −108 nts upstream from the MRP3 translation start site, where RXRα:RARα specifically reduced Sp1 binding to this site. Mutation of the GC box also reduced MRP3 promoter activity. The functional role of RXRα:RARα as a repressor of MRP3 expression was further confirmed by RXRα small-interfering RNA knockdown in HepG2 cells, which upregulated endogenous MRP3 expression. In summary, our results indicate that activator Sp1 and repressor RXRα:RARα act in concert to regulate MRP3 expression. Since RXRα:RARα expression is diminished by cholestatic liver injury, loss of RXRα:RARα may lead to upregulation of MRP3/Mrp3 expression in these disorders.
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THE MULTIDRUG RESISTANCE-ASSOCIATED PROTEINS, the MRP (ABCC) family, consist of at least 12 ATP-binding cassette transport proteins that function to export a variety of organic solutes out of cells (3, 14). The MRP3/Mrp3 ortholog (ABCC3) is expressed in a number of cell types and tissues including hepatocytes, cholangiocytes, intestine, and kidney where it is localized to the basolateral membrane (15, 16, 21). Substrates for MRP3/Mrp3 include sulfated and nonsulfated bile salts, bilirubin glucuronides, 17β-glucuronosyl estradiol, leukotrienes, and some anticancer drugs (1, 8, 9, 16). Mrp3 expression in hepatocytes varies between species but is weakly expressed in rat compared with the mouse and human liver (13, 19). However, rat Mrp3 is markedly upregulated in the liver following bile duct obstruction (7, 19, 24), and human MRP3 is upregulated in patients with the Dubin-Johnson syndrome (15) and primary biliary cirrhosis (32). These findings suggest that this transporter functions to extrude bilirubin and bile salt conjugates from the hepatocyte when bile secretion is impaired. Recent studies in bile duct-ligated Mrp3 knockout mice support a role for extrusion of endogenous glucuronide conjugates (18, 30, 31). However, the mechanisms that regulate MRP3/Mrp3 gene expression are not well understood.

The transcription factor Sp1 has been reported to be an activator of the human and rat MRP3/Mrp3 promoters by use of luciferase reporter assays (25, 26). But how this constitutively active transcription factor may contribute to the upregulation of MRP3/Mrp3 expression in cholestasis is unknown. Recently, human MRP3 was shown to be upregulated by bile acids in Caco2 cells via the fetoprotein transcription factor (FTF), also known as liver receptor homolog-1 (Lrh-1) and cholesterol 7α hydroxylase promoter factor (CPF) (12). Previous studies from our laboratory indicate that bile duct obstruction increases Lrh-1 and Mrp3 expression in mouse liver and Lrh-1 binding to the mouse Mrp3 promoter (2). This adaptive response is mediated by TNF-α and functionally diminishes hepatocyte injury compared with bile duct obstruction in TNF-RI−/− mice (2). Nevertheless, it seems unlikely that increases in FTF/Lrh-1 expression and binding to the MRP3 promoter can fully account for the marked upregulation of its protein expression in patients with cholestasis and following bile duct ligation in the rat.

In the present study, the observation that MRP3 promoter activity was suppressed in retinoic X receptor-α:retinoic acid receptor-α (RXRα:RARα)-cotransfected HepG2 and HEK293 cells led us to search for additional nuclear receptors that may regulate MRP3/Mrp3 expression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. Chemicals were purchased from Sigma, except when the source is specified. Cell culture medium DMEM, fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin and streptomycin, trypsin, and PBS were from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Enhanced chemiluminescence reagents were from Amersham (Piscataway, NJ). DNA oligos and sequencing were provided by the Keck Biotechnology Center at Yale University. TaqMan probes for real-time PCR were generated by Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA). Luciferase assay kit was purchased from Promega (Madison, WI). DIG-Gel shift kit was from Roche Boehringer (Indianapolis, IN).

Plasmid constructs. Human RARα (NR1B1) and RXRα (NR2B1) expression vectors are gifts from Dr. David Mangelsdorf (University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX). Human Sp1 and Sp3 expression constructs in pCMV4 vector were kindly provided by Drs. Graciela Krikun and Charles Lockwood at Yale University. Five constructs used for luciferase reporter assay were made by inserting 234 bp, 0.5 kb, 1 kb, 2 kb, and 4 kb of MRP3 gene upstream sequence from the transcription initiation site into a plasmid pGL3-basic (Promega); they were named p-200Luc, p-500Luc, p-1 kbLuc, p-2 kbLuc, p-4 kbLuc, and p-500LucM as previously described (2). Oligo DNA primers made for mutating the putative Sp1 binding site in the p-200Luc plasmid are listed in Table 1 (GC5), and the mutants were
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**Statistical analysis.** Data are expressed as means ± SD. Differences between experimental groups were assessed for significance by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5’ → 3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>AGAGCTGCGCATCGCGGCTCGGCGGAGCGGAGGACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS</td>
<td>AGAGCTGCGCATCGCGGCTCGGCGGAGCGGAGGACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCB</td>
<td>AGAGCTGCGCATCGCGGCTCGGCGGAGCGGAGGACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp1</td>
<td>ATTCGATCGCGGAGCGGAGG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Antisenses were made at the same length and complementary to the sense sequence.

generated by using the QuikChange XL site-directed mutagenesis kit from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA). These p-200Luc mutants were confirmed by DNA sequencing. Glutathione-S-transferase (GST)-hRXRα and GST-hRARα expression constructs were made by inserting the full-length PCR fragments of human RXRα and RARα into pGEX-6P-1 vector, respectively. The oligo primers are as follows: hRXRα-forward: GTGGGATCCATCATGATTGCC; hRXRα-reverse: GTAAGATGCAGGGCCTAAGCTATTGTGGTC; hRARα-forward: GTAAGATGCATTGCAGCCAGGGCATCACAGACAGCTC; hRARα-reverse: GTGCGTCGAGTCAGCGGGAGTGGTTGAGGCC.

**Cell culture and transfection.** HepG2 and HEK293 cells were grown at 37°C in DMEM medium with 10% FBS in 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Sp1 deficient Drosophila S2 cells (kindly provided by Dr. Tian Xu’s laboratory at Yale University) were maintained in Schneider’s Drosophila medium supplemented with 10% FBS at 25°C. Cells were transfected by Lipofectamine without serum in the medium for 16 h when they were at 60% confluence and then regular growth medium was added. For nuclear receptor ligand treatment, the transfected cells were cultured in serum-free DMEM with or without 100 nM 9-cis-retinoic acid and/or 100 nM all-trans-retinoic acid, or with 0.1% DMSO vehicle for 24 h.

**Quantitative real-time PCR analysis.** Total RNA was extracted from the tissues or cultured cells by using Trizol reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Concentration and purity were confirmed by spectrophotometer and formaldehyde denaturing agarose gel electrophoresis. Five micrograms of total RNA from each sample were used to generate cDNA by reverse transcription with the ProSTAR RT-PCR kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). TaqMan real-time quantitative PCR assay was performed on an ABI Prism 7700 sequence detection system, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). GAPDH was used as reference for normalizing data. MRP3 primers and probe are forward, CGTGAAGATGCGCGCC; reverse, TCACCGTATGCGGGCAC; probe, Fam-TCACGGTTAAGCAATG. Proprietary primers and probe of human GAPDH were purchased from ABI (Applied Biosystems).

**Western blot analyses.** Total membrane proteins were isolated from HepG2 cells as described previously (2), and nuclear proteins were prepared by using the NE-PER kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Protein concentration was determined according to Bradford (4), and samples were stored at −70°C. For Western blot analysis, 20 μg nuclear extract or 100 μg membrane protein were separated on a 4–15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel (Bio-
Rad, Hercules, CA). After transfer to polyvinylidine fluoride micro-
orous membranes (Bio-Rad), the membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk, followed by primary antibody and horseradish peroxi-
dase-conjugated secondary antibody incubation. The immune com-
plexes were detected with the Enhanced Chemiluminescence reagent kit, and the signals were recorded on X-ray film. Immunoreactive bands were quantified by densitometry (Multi-Analyst, Bio-Rad). SH-PTP1 protein was used to normalize band intensity for nuclear proteins. Polyclonal antibodies against human RARs (C-20), SH-
PTP1, and human MRP3 (C-18) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA).

**Gene knock-down with small interfering RNA.** Four sets of small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) that targeted human RARs were designed and chemically synthesized by Dharmaco (Chicago, IL). The sequences are listed in Table 2. The oligos were annealed to duplex according to manufacturer’s instruction. Control RNA, siCONTROL RISC-Free siRNA (sequence proprietary) was purchased from Dharmaco. HEK293 or HepG2 cells were transfected with mixtures of four sets of RARα siRNA duplexes or control siRNA duplex in the amount of 100 pmol/well for a 6-well plate or 25 pmol/well for a 24-well plate using Lipofectamine reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). After 24 and 48 h of transfection, cells were harvested for RNA isolation or luciferase reporter assay.

**Luciferase reporter assay.** HepG2, HEK293, or S2 cells seeded on 24-well plates were cotransfected with 75 ng of RARα plasmid DNA, 75 ng of RXRα plasmid DNA, 0.3 μg of human MRP3 promoter region reporter construct, and 5 ng of phRL-CMV as internal control except where indicated (Promega). After transfection and ligand treatment, cells were washed twice with PBS and lysed with 100 μl of passive lysis buffer (Promega). A fraction of protein was subject to a dual-luciferase system reporter assay from Promega by following the manufacturer’s protocol. The firefly luciferase activity was normalized by Renilla luciferase activity or protein concentration (28). Since Sp1 can stimulate Renilla luciferase activity in the phRL-CMV vector [there are at least three putative Sp1 binding sites in the CMV promoter upstream of Renilla luciferase gene based on MatInspector (www.genomatix.de)], firefly luciferase activity was normalized to total cell protein when Sp1 was cotransfected. In both cases, promoter activities are given as means ± SD of triplicate transfections.

**EMSA and supershift EMSA.** For the gel shift assay, double-strand DNA oligos were made with a biotin label at the 3’ end or by the DIG-end labeling technique (Table 1), according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Roche). Recombinant human Sp1 protein and a canonical Sp1 oligo were from Promega. Antibody against human Sp1 was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. In vitro translated human RARs and RXRs were made by utilizing the TNT kit (Promega). Recombinant GST, GST-hRARα, and GST-hRXRα protein were expressed in Escherichia coli BL21 and purified by using glutathione beads. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) with biotin-labeled probes were performed using the LightShift chemiluminescent EMSA kit (Pierce). EMSA and supershift EMSA with digoxin-labeled probes were performed using the DIG-Gel shift kit by following manufacturer’s instructions (Roche). In supershift studies for RXRs and RARs, 5 μl (0.2 mg/ml) of the relevant rabbit polyclonal antibody (D-20 and C-20, Santa Cruz) or control rabbit IgG was incubated with 5 μg of nuclear extract on ice for 30 min before addition of the labeled probe and further incubated on ice for 30 min. The entire 20 μl binding reaction was resolved on a 6% polyacryl-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duplex No.</th>
<th>Strand</th>
<th>Sequence (5’ → 3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sense:</td>
<td>GCAAGAACGUCGGUGUAGCAU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisense:</td>
<td>pUGUGUGAIGGGCGUGUIUCU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sense:</td>
<td>GCGAAAUCACCGUAGCAAAU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisense:</td>
<td>pUGUGUGUGAGGUGUIAUGGU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sense:</td>
<td>GACAAAGACCGUCGAGCAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisense:</td>
<td>pUGUGUGUGAGGUGUIAUGGU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sense:</td>
<td>GACAAAGACCGUCGAGCAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisense:</td>
<td>pUGUGUGUGAGGUGUIAUGGU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

siRNA, small interfering RNA.
the two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test. A P value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

The human MRP3 promoter activity is diminished after cotransfection of RXRα and/or RARα. To assess promoter activity in the MRP3 5’-flanking region, p-200Luc, p-500Luc, p-1 kbLuc, p-2 kbLuc, and p-4 kbLuc constructs were cotransfected with phRL-CMV into HepG2 cells. Luciferase assays demonstrated that most of the promoter activity in the MRP3 gene was in the first 2 kb sequence (data not shown). CPF, farnesoid X receptor (FXR) (NR1H4), pregnane X receptor (PXR) (NR1I2), constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) (NR1I3), RARα, and RXRα expression constructs were then cotransfected with p-2 kbLuc into HepG2 cells and treated with their specific ligands to examine whether any of these nuclear receptors might upregulate MRP3 expression. We did not see any significant stimulation on MRP3 promoter activity from FXR, PXR, or CAR in this construct. However, CPF activated the MRP3 promoter, consistent with our previous report (2). In contrast, we repeatedly observed that luciferase activity was significantly lower in RXRα::RARα-transfected cells, and addition of retinoids did not augment this inhibitory effect. To confirm this finding, we cotransfected RXRα::RARα expression constructs with the p-200Luc, p-500Luc, or p-1 kbLuc into HepG2 and HEK293 cells. As demonstrated in Fig. 1, RXRα::RARα suppressed MRP3 promoter activity by 42 ± 2% with p-200Luc, 40 ± 4% with p-500Luc, and 33 ± 2% with p-1 kbLuc in HepG2 cells, indicating that there is an RXRα::RARα response element in the first 234 bp of the MRP3 5’-flank region that represses MRP3 expression.

RARα siRNAs knock down RARα nuclear protein and increase expression of endogenous MRP3. To assess directly the potential inhibitory effects of RARα on MRP3 expression, we used siRNA to knock down the endogenous expression of this nuclear receptor. As illustrated in Fig. 2A, transfection of RARα siRNAs resulted in reduction of RARα nuclear protein to 53 ± 5% of control levels by 48 h in HepG2 cells. In contrast, both MRP3 mRNA and protein were significantly increased by 211 ± 7 and 154 ± 15%, respectively (Fig. 2B and C). This result confirms the findings from the previous promoter reporter assay that RxRα::RARα suppresses MRP3 expression and further suggests that there is an RxRα::RARα response element in this 234-bp sequence.

RxRα::RARα does not directly bind to the proximal promoter of the human MRP3 gene. Because RxRα::RARα repressed the p-200Luc construct, we searched the Genomatix website (http://www.genomatix.de) for nuclear receptor and transcription factor binding sites in the first 234-bp sequence of the MRP3 promoter region to identify the putative retinoic acid receptor response elements (RARE) in the MRP3 gene. However, a typical RARE was not detected. In contrast, Sp1 and FT/CPF binding sites were detected in this region as previously reported (Fig. 3). Furthermore, to search whether there was an atypical RARE, we constructed seven pairs of complementary oligonucleotides to cover the full 234-bp sequence of the MRP3 promoter in the p-200Luc construct. Each oligonucleotide pair was 50 bp long with 20 bp overlapping with adjacent regions and was labeled with biotin. By using in vitro translated RARα and RXRα, we found that two pairs of oligos (the –152 to –102 nts and –122 to –72 nts) specifically bound to RxRα::RARα in a gel-shift assay. Because there is a 20-bp overlap in these two pairs of oligos, we were able to confine the potential RARE to this 20-bp region. We then used this 20-bp sequence to test whether it binds to in vitro translated RxRα::RARα. This result also appeared to be positive. However, we could not confirm the findings when purified recombinant human RARα and RXRα protein were used (Fig. 5C). Therefore, a specific RxRα::RARα binding site does not seem to be present in this region of the human MRP3 promoter.

RxRα::RARα suppresses Sp1 activity in the MRP3 promoter. Previous studies indicate that nuclear receptors can modulate Sp1 activity (a transcriptional activator) in GC-rich regions (22). Because GC content is 78% of the proximal promoter of the human MRP3 gene (Fig. 3), we next examined whether RxRα::RARα might suppress MRP3 expression through Sp1. To test this hypothesis, p-200Luc was cotransfected with a human Sp1 expression construct into S2 cells, an Sp1-deficient insect cell line. As predicted, when 50 and 100 ng of this expression vector were cotransfected into S2 cells, Sp1 greatly stimulated MRP3 promoter activity in a dose-dependent manner by a factor of 5- and 12-fold, respectively. Qualitatively similar results were obtained in transfected HEK293 and HepG2 cells. In contrast, human Sp3 did not show any stimulation (Fig. 4A), indicating that this promoter activity is Sp1 specific. When the Sp1 expression construct was cotransfected with RARα and RXRα expression plasmids into S2 cells, this stimulatory effect was abrogated in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 4B). Similar results were also observed in transfected HepG2 and HEK293 cells, consistent with our original observation that RxRα::RARα inhibits MRP3 promoter expression in these cell lines.

To assess whether the putative Sp1 binding sites are responsible for Sp1 activation, we mutated the major Sp1 activation site (–113 to –108 nts) in the p-200Luc construct. As shown in Fig. 4C, p-200LucMut demonstrated significantly lower basal promoter activity and Sp1 inducibility compared with the wild type, indicating that Sp1 is a functional activator of MRP3 gene expression.
RXRα:RARα reduced Sp1 binding to its response element in the human MRP3 promoter. Finally to determine whether RXRα:RARα and Sp1 bind as a complex to the GC box motif in the MRP3 promoter, we performed EMSA experiments.

First, as shown in Fig. 5A, purified recombinant Sp1 protein binds to the wild-type sequence, but not when either of the Sp1 putative binding sites was mutated or when it is competed by a canonical Sp1 oligo. Specificity of the binding was confirmed since addition of Sp1 antibody leads to a supershift. These results indicate that the putative Sp1 binding site in the MRP3 promoter is a functional Sp1 site.

Second (Fig. 5B), when the probe was incubated with nuclear extract from HepG2 cells, a significant gel shift was observed. The shifted band was competed when cold (unlabeled) wild-type probe was added. Mutations of the Sp1 binding site reduced nuclear protein binding activity, and addition of antibodies against RXRα and RARα, but not CPF or Sp1, specifically supershifted the band, indicating that RXRα:RARα is part of the transcription factor complex of the MRP3 promoter.

Third, to further explore how RXRα:RARα may suppress Sp1 activity, we tested whether RXRα:RARα interferes with Sp1 binding to its response element. In this experiment, purified recombinant human RARα and RXRα protein was incubated with Sp1 and its response element probe. As shown in Fig. 5C, the EMSA demonstrate that Sp1, but not GST, or RXRα and RARα alone or in combination binds to the wild-type probe. This binding was not affected by GST protein. In contrast, RARα together with RXRα reduced the Sp1 binding in a dose-dependent manner, suggesting that RXRα:RARα represses Sp1 activity on MRP3 expression through a protein-protein interaction.

DISCUSSION

MRP3/Mrp3 is a major export pump for conjugated bile salt and organic solutes on the basolateral membrane of hepatocytes and is adaptively upregulated during cholestatic liver injury (2, 7, 13, 19, 24). However, the mechanisms by which this adaptive response in MRP3/Mrp3 expression occurs have not been fully explained. Takada et al. (25) reported that human MRP3 is under the control of TATA-less proximal promoter and suggested that Sp1 may be involved in its transcription. Similar results were also reported for rat Mrp3 regulation (26). But neither of these reports determined whether Sp1 could upregulate MRP3/Mrp3 in cholestasis. We and others have previously identified FTF/Lrhr-1/CPF as a nuclear receptor that positively regulates MRP3/Mrp3 gene expression (2, 12) and that is mediated by the TNF-α signaling pathway (2). However, the magnitude of this response seemed insufficient to be fully explained by the induction of FTF alone. In the process of searching for additional regulators for MRP3/Mrp3 expression, we observed that RXRα:RARα suppressed MRP3 promoter activity in a luciferase reporter assay. Therefore we speculated that the RXRα:RARα heterodimeric complex might be a repressor of MRP3/Mrp3 expression under normal physiological conditions and that loss of these nuclear receptors under cholestatic conditions, as we previously described (7), might contribute to MRP3/Mrp3 upregulation.

This hypothesis is confirmed in the present study by the following findings: 1) When human MRP3 promoter constructs (p-200Luc, p-500Luc, p-1 kbLuc) are cotransfected with plasmids expressing RXRα and/or RARα in either HepG2 or HEK293 cells, MRP3 promoter activity is significantly suppressed, indicating that RXRα:RARα can repress MRP3 transcription (Fig. 1). 2) When RARα siRNAs knocked down endogenous expression of RARα nuclear protein in human hepatoma cell lines, endogenous MRP3 mRNA and protein reciprocally increased, directly demonstrating that MRP3 expression is enhanced in association with a reduction in expression of this nuclear protein (Fig. 2). Luciferase reporter assays also demonstrate that MRP3 promoter activity is significantly enhanced by these RARα siRNAs (data not shown). 3) We also confirm that Sp1 is a transcriptional activator of MRP3 expression (Fig. 4A) and further show that RXRα:RARα abrogates Sp1 activity in this gene’s promoter (Fig. 4B). 4) EMSAs...
demonstrate that RXRα and RARα are part of a complex that binds to the Sp1 GC box motif of the MRP3 promoter and that they specifically reduce Sp1 binding to this motif (Fig. 5C). Although a CPF response element is also present distally in the p-200Luc construct of MRP3 promoter, it is unlikely that this region is significant since similar effects exerted by Sp1 and RXRα:RARα were observed with a p-110Luc construct that excluded the CPF site while maintaining the three putative Sp1 response elements (data not shown). Thus, on the basis of these combined experiments, we conclude that RXRα and RARα are suppressors of Sp1’s activation of human MRP3 expression. Because the expression of RAR and RXR is diminished in cholestatic liver injury (6), we speculate that upregulation of MRP3/Mrp3 in cholestasis is in part due to the loss of these nuclear receptors.

RAR is a well-known nuclear receptor that heterodimerizes with RXR and binds to RAREs to regulate gene expression. It is also well documented that as a general transcriptional activator Sp1 binds to a GC box motif in promoters and regulates gene expression. Recent studies indicate that RAR modulates Sp1 transcriptional activity on GC-rich promoters through direct interactions between the two proteins (11, 22). Kojima and colleagues (22) have reported that RXRα:RARα regulate the expression of several genes in an RARE-independent manner, including urokinase, transglutaminase, TGF-β1, and type I and II TGF-β receptors. In these examples, RXRα:RARα strengthened Sp1 binding to their GC box motifs and thus enhanced target gene expression. In contrast, Uruno et al. (27) have observed that RAR repressed Sp1 activity on the expression of the thromboxane receptor and demonstrated that RAR reduced Sp1 binding to its GC box motif in its promoter. This finding is similar to the effect of RAR:RXR on Sp1 activity and MRP3 expression in the present study. It remains to be determined why RAR is an enhancer for Sp1 in some cases but a repressor in others. Differences in adjacent sequence may contribute to this effect.

The regulatory effects on the levels of MRP3 promoter activity that we have observed for RXRα:RARα repression, although highly significant and specific, are not large in magnitude when analyzed in transfected HepG2 and HEK293 cells possibly because of high endogenous expression of Sp1. For example, Sp1 induced higher levels of MRP3 and was more severely repressed by RXRα:RARα when transfected in S2 cells where endogenous Sp1 is deficient (Fig. 4B). It is also possible that other transcriptional factors may be involved in regulating MRP3 gene expression. Previous reports suggest that Mrp3 expression in the liver can be induced by phenobarbital (5, 13, 29), and we have demonstrated similar stimulation...
of MRP3 mRNA in HepG2 cells (our unpublished observations). These findings suggest that CAR might also regulate MRP3/Mrp3 expression. However, phenobarbital has similar effects in CAR<sup>−/−</sup> mice (5) and we have been unable to identify a CAR cis-binding element in our constructs of the human MRP3 promoter. Thus the phenobarbital effect must be independent of CAR and CAR may not be a specific regulator of MRP3. Others have reported that nuclear factor E2-related factor 2 may be involved in inducing MRP3/Mrp3 expression. In human liver, nuclear factor E2-related factor 2 is induced by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (Ahr) pathway (10). β-Naphthoflavone, a ligand for Ahr, also can increase MRP3 mRNA expression (10), and dioxin response elements have been identified in the 5′-flanking region of human MRP3 (25) to which Ahr:Arnt (Aryl hydrocarbon nuclear translocator) heterodimers may bind. Thus MRP3 might also be upregulated by Ahr. Significant correlations in MRP3 expression have been found with a polymorphism in the −211 C>T of the MRP3 promoter (17). This polymorphism is located immediately between the two CFP binding sites and thus might affect TF regulation of MRP3. Further studies will be necessary to determine the functional significance of these polymorphisms and other candidate receptors as regulators of MRP3 expression.
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