Distinct contributions of CD4+ T cell subsets in hepatic ischemia/reperfusion injury
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In addition to neutrophil-dependent injury mechanisms, other studies have demonstrated that T cells also contribute to hepatic I/R injury. Several reports have shown that CD4+ T cells mediate renal I/R injury (13, 36). Antigen recognition by γδ T cells is limited, and the repertoire of natural ligands is not well developed (12, 34). Previous studies have shown that γδ T cells play a critical role in protection against bacterial infection (8, 39). In addition, we have demonstrated that neutrophil recruitment to the site of inflammation is mediated by γδ T cells in the murine model of sepsis induced by cecal ligation and puncture (39). However, little is known about the role of γδ T cells during hepatic I/R injury although several studies have shown that γδ T cells mediate renal I/R injury (13, 36).

Another important subset of CD4+ T cells is CD4+ NK1.1+ natural killer T (NKT) cells, which coexpress the invariant TCR, Vα14Jα18, but also express a variety of molecular markers, typically associated with NK cells, such as NK1.1 (9). The liver is one of the most abundant sources of NKT cells among the immune organs, which account for ~50% of total αβ T cells in the liver (11). NKT cells are activated by recognizing glycolipid presentation to TCRs by CD1d, a member of the CD1 family of antigen-presenting molecules (22). Originally, it was thought that NKT cells could not play a role in postischemic tissue injury because of the absence of foreign antigen. However, recent published data have suggested that NKT cell activation by CD1d-dependent antigen presentation to TCR might be a key early event in the inflammation cascade during hepatic I/R injury (25, 37). Furthermore, the generation of self-antigens has been described in models of gut and hindlimb I/R (43).
Other lymphoid cells such as NK cells and regulatory T cells (Treg) have also been linked to inflammatory responses (1, 41); however, the roles of these cells in hepatic I/R injury is unclear. In the present studies, we sought to determine the contributions of specific CD4+ T cell subsets to hepatic I/R injury.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. Wild-type, OT-II [C57BL/6-Tg(TcraTcrb)425Cbn/J] and TCR-δ-deficient (B6.129P2-Tcrδtm1Mom/J) mice on a C57BL/6J background were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). All mutant mice were backcrossed to the C57BL/6J strain at least 12 times. This project was approved by the University of Cincinnati Animal Care and Use Committee and was in compliance with the National Institutes of Health guidelines.

Hepatic I/R injury model. Male mice between 7 and 10 wk of age weighing 20–26 g were used in these experiments. The animals underwent either sham surgery or I/R. Partial hepatic ischemia was induced as described previously (28). Briefly, mice were anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium (60 mg/kg ip). A midline laparotomy was performed, and an atraumatic clip was used to interrupt blood supply to the left lateral and median lobes of the liver. The caudal lobes retained intact portal and arterial inflow and venous outflow, preventing intestinal venous congestion. After 90 min of partial hepatic ischemia, the clip was removed to initiate hepatic reperfusion. Some wild-type mice were injected intraperitoneally with 100 μg of anti-CD1d antibody (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA) 4 h before operation, 100 μg of PK136 (kindly donated by Dr. Jorge A. Bezerra, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital) 48 h before operation, or 250 μg of anti-CD1d antibody and PK136. GL113 was used as a control of PK136. Mice were euthanized after 8 h of reperfusion, and blood and samples of the left lateral lobe were taken for analysis.

Blood and tissue analysis. Blood was obtained by cardiac puncture for analysis of serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) as an index of hepatocellular injury. Measurements of serum ALT were made using a diagnosis kit by bioassay (Wiener Laboratories, Rosario, Argentina). Liver tissues were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, processed, and then embedded in paraffin for light microscopy. Sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histological examination.

Liver neutrophil accumulation. Liver myeloperoxidase (MPO) content was assessed by methods described elsewhere (28). Briefly, liver tissue (100 mg) was homogenized in 2 ml of buffer A (3.4 mmol/l KH2HPO4, 16 mmol/l Na2HPO4, pH 7.4). After centrifugation for 20 min at 10,000 g, the pellet was resuspended in 10 volumes of buffer B (43.2 mmol/l KH2HPO4, 6.5 mmol/l Na2HPO4, 10 mmol/l EDTA, 0.5% hexadecyltrimethylammonium, pH 6.0) and sonicated for 10 s. After being heated for 2 h at 60°C, the supernatant was reacted with 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), and the optical density was read at 655 nm.

Statistical analysis. All data are expressed as means ± SE. Data were analyzed with a one-way analysis of variance with subsequent Student-Newman-Keuls test. Differences were considered significant when P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Activation of CD4+ T cells during hepatic I/R injury is partially antigen dependent. Previous studies have suggested that T cells contribute to hepatic I/R injury independently of the antigen presentation (20). However, other studies have shown that self-antigens are generated in postischemic tissues and recognized as targets for circulating IgM (43), suggesting that antigen-dependent mechanisms may be involved in I/R injury. To determine whether antigen-dependent mechanisms of injury are relevant to hepatic I/R injury, we employed OT-II mice. These mice have CD4+ T cells that express a TCR that only recognizes ovalbumin (7). Hepatic I/R injury was attenuated in OT-II mice after both 4 and 8 h of reperfusion, as measured by serum ALT levels, compared with wild-type mice (Fig. 1A). Hepatic neutrophil accumulation, as determined by liver MPO content, was similar in 1A:
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**A**: Liver injury, assessed by serum levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), was determined after 90 min of ischemia and 4 and 8 h of reperfusion. Data are means ± SE with n = 5 per group. *P < 0.05, compared with wild-type mice. **B**: Neutrophil accumulation after 4 and 8 h of reperfusion was determined by liver content of myeloperoxidase (MPO). Data are means ± SE with n = 5 per group. **C**: Liver histology. Normal hepatic architecture was observed in sham-operated wild-type (WT) mice. Sham-operated OT-II mice also showed normal hepatic architecture. After 8 h of reperfusion, livers from wild-type mice had large areas of necrosis, whereas livers from OT-II mice had less evidence of necrosis. Original magnification was ×50.
between wild-type and OT-II mice at both time points (Fig. 1B). These findings were confirmed by histological examination, since hepatocellular necrosis was markedly lower in OT-II mice compared with wild-type mice after 8 h of reperfusion (Fig. 1C). Therefore, it appeared that hepatic I/R injury is mediated in part by antigen-dependent CD4+ T cells activation through TCRs.

**Hepatic neutrophil recruitment during I/R is regulated by γδ T cells.** γδ T cells have been reported to regulate the inflammatory response induced by bacterial infection (8, 39). Moreover, several reports have demonstrated that γδ T cells mediate renal I/R injury, since TCR-δ-deficient mice show less renal injury during I/R compared with wild-type mice (13, 36). To determine the effects of TCR-dependent γδ T cell activation on hepatic I/R injury, TCR-δ-deficient mice and their wild-type controls were subjected to I/R. There were no detectable differences in liver injury between wild-type and TCR-δ-deficient mice (Fig. 2A). However, liver MPO content was significantly lower in TCR-δ-deficient mice compared with wild-type mice after 8 h of reperfusion (Fig. 2B), suggesting that γδ T cells regulate hepatic neutrophil recruitment during I/R injury. Histopathological analysis of liver sections showed that wild-type mice subjected to ischemia and 8 h of reperfusion had substantial hepatocellular necrosis and neutrophilic infiltrates, whereas livers from TCR-δ-deficient mice showed less evidence of neutrophil accumulation with similar degree of necrosis (Fig. 2C). These results were supported by our previous findings that revealed the contribution of γδ T cells to neutrophil recruitment into the site of inflammation in sepsis model (39).

**CD1d-dependent activation of NKT cells contributes to hepatic I/R injury.** We have previously shown that NKT cells are rapidly recruited to the liver after I/R (4). Other reports indicate that NKT cells contribute to liver injury induced by I/R (25, 37). Therefore, we examined whether inhibition of NKT cell function by blocking its CD1d-dependent activation showed any effects on hepatic I/R injury. Treatment of mice with anti-CD1d antibody resulted in ~30% less liver injury compared with mice treated with vehicle (Fig. 3A). However, this decrease in injury was not associated with reduced neutrophil accumulation (Fig. 3B), suggesting that NKT cells may be directly injurious to liver parenchyma. Histopathology revealed similar results because control mice subjected to ischemia and 8 h of reperfusion had substantial hepatocellular necrosis and neutrophilic infiltrates, whereas livers from mice pretreated with anti-CD1d antibody showed reduced areas of necrosis but high levels of neutrophil infiltration (Fig. 3C).

**NK cells are not involved in hepatic I/R injury.** Because NK cells are also known to increase at the site of inflammation and mediate the inflammatory responses in several inflammation models, we next sought to reveal the effects of NK cells on hepatic I/R injury. NK1.1 is the C type lectin receptor and known to be expressed on both NK cells and NKT cells (31). Since treatment with PK136, an anti-NK1.1 antibody, 48 h before operation is reported to deplete NK cells and NKT cells in the liver (25), we pretreated mice with PK136 or saline (control) 48 h before hepatic I/R. Mice treated with PK136 had lower serum ALT levels after 8 h of reperfusion compared with control mice (Fig. 4A). However, the impact of PK136 treatment on decrease in serum ALT levels was similar to anti-CD1d antibody treatment, as ~25% reduction compared with control mice. Similar to anti-CD1d antibody treatment, PK136 treatment did not change the liver MPO content after 8 h of reperfusion (Fig. 4B). These findings suggest that NKT cells, and not NK cells, contribute to hepatic I/R injury.

As reported in previous studies, CD4+ CD25+ Treg are known to regulate inflammatory responses by suppressing CD4+ T cell functions upon TCR stimulation (23, 38). To determine whether depletion of CD4+ CD25+ Treg had any regulatory effects on hepatic I/R injury, mice were pretreated...
with PC61, an anti-CD25^+ antibody that was reported to reduce \sim 70\% of CD4^+ CD25^+ Treg population at this time point (33). We found no significant differences in serum ALT levels or liver MPO content between mice treated with PC61 or the vehicle control (data not shown). These results suggest that CD4^+ CD25^+ Treg do not suppress CD4^+ T cell-mediated liver injury induced by I/R.

**Response of OT-II and wild-type mice depleted of NK T cells on hepatic I/R.** Our data suggest that hepatic I/R injury is attenuated in OT-II mice as well as treatment that resulted in the depletion of NKT cells. Therefore, we wanted to determine the contribution of NKT cells to residual liver injury in OT-II mice following liver I/R. We found that pretreatment OT-II mice with anti-CD1d antibody significantly decreased ALT compared with untreated wild-type mice (Fig. 5A). However, we did not observe significant differences in liver injury between the untreated OT-II, and anti-CD1d-treated wild-type and OT-II mice. Additionally, the tested mice did not exhibit altered MPO content (Fig. 5B). Thus it was not possible to abrogate residual liver injury in OT-II mice post-I/R by anti-CD1d antibody treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

Our understanding of the functions of T lymphocytes in hepatic pathophysiology is rapidly evolving. Accumulating data suggest that CD4^+ T cells mediate liver neutrophil recruitment and liver injury (4, 20, 44). However, the precise mechanisms by which subsets of T cells contribute to hepatic I/R injury are not fully understood. Similarly, involvement of antigens in T cell activation during hepatic I/R injury has not been rigorously examined. Our present studies show that liver I/R injury was attenuated in OT-II mice. These results suggest that antigen-dependent activation of CD4^+ T cells contributes to I/R injury in the liver. Previous studies have suggested that activation of CD4^+ T cells during I/R was antigen-independent because it was observed that blockade of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II by antibody had no effects on I/R-induced liver injury (20). It was proposed that cytokines and chemokines produced during I/R might directly activate CD4^+ T cells since T cells were known to be activated by cytokines and chemokines such as IL-18 and RANTES in a manner independent of TCR engagement (2, 26). In contrast,
other studies have demonstrated that dendritic cells are activated by Toll-like receptor-mediated pathway and increase their expression of MHC class II during hepatic I/R injury, leading to antigen-dependent activation of CD4+ T cells (30, 40). Moreover, blockade of TCR signaling with cyclosporine treatment is known to reduce hepatic I/R injury (24, 35). Recent studies have suggested that innate recognition of stress-induced self-antigens is involved in the induction of intestinal and skeletal muscle I/R injury (43).

On the basis of our present data and the collective literature, it seems that activation of CD4+ T cells during hepatic I/R injury may be induced by both antigen-dependent and independent mechanisms.

The present study is the first to show that γδ T cells mediate the inflammatory response during hepatic I/R injury. We have previously shown that γδ T cells are recruited to the liver within 1 h of reperfusion, a time that precedes the recruitment of neutrophils (4). In the present study, we found that hepatic neutrophil recruitment was suppressed in TCR-δ-deficient mice after I/R compared with wild-type mice. We have previously reported that γδ T cells mediate intestinal neutrophil recruitment in a peritoneal sepsis model (39). Similar to those studies (39) and others in a renal I/R model (13, 36), we found no correlation between altered neutrophil recruitment and hepatic I/R injury in TCR-δ-deficient mice. Therefore, it appears that γδ T cells mediate neutrophil recruitment into the site of inflammation in a manner that is independent of the degree of tissue damage.

Interestingly, previous findings regarding renal I/R in TCR-δ-deficient mice have demonstrated that TCR-δ-deficient mice show decreased serum creatinine levels, improved pathological findings, and better survival rate after 72 h of reperfusion compared with wild-type mice (36). We have previously shown that after hepatic I/R, signals for liver recovery/regeneration do not occur until after 24 h of reperfusion (3, 22). Accordingly, although no differences were seen in the degree of liver injury as manifested by serum ALT levels and histology after 8 h of reperfusion in this study, TCR-δ deficiency may have effects on liver recovery and regeneration. This possibility, although beyond the scope of the present study, deserves further investigation.

Previous reports indicate that activation of NKT cells by CD1d glycolipid presentation to TCRs promotes the rapid release of IFN-γ (21), contributing to liver injury during I/R (25, 37). Because NK cells also have cytotoxic functions like NKT cells (41), we depleted both NKT cells and NK cells by PK136 during hepatic I/R injury. Interestingly, treatment with PK136 showed similar effects on the degree of reduction in serum ALT levels as treatment with anti-CD1d antibody (~25–30% reduction compared with wild-type mice), suggesting that NK cells were not involved in hepatic I/R injury. These results were supported by our previous studies that showed that NKT cells, but not NK cells, were recruited to the postischemic liver during hepatic I/R injury (4). Although our present study does not define the precise mechanism by which NKT cells mediate hepatic I/R injury, the release of IFN-γ by recruited NKT cells might stimulate other proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, leading to augmented liver injury.

Our study demonstrates no significant differences in neutrophil accumulation in mice lacking NK or NKT cells or mice with an invariant TCR on CD4 T cells. However, in all these cases, the liver injury as determined by ALT and histology is decreased. Although the precise mechanisms of these effects are unclear, we speculate that CD4 or NKT cell-specific cytokines could directly or indirectly regulate neutrophil function such that the same degree of liver injury could be induced by a lower number of neutrophils with a higher state of activation. This concept was validated in our previous studies, which demonstrated that neutrophils isolated from the livers of CD4 knockout mice had a higher oxidative burst than those from wild-type mice (4).

Similar to NK cells, depletion of CD4+ CD25+ Treg by PC61 had no effects on hepatic I/R injury. Our findings are consistent with a preliminary study in a model of renal I/R that reported that PC61 treatment had little impact on the early phase of injury (32). However, this study showed higher necrosis in PC61-treated mice after 3 days of reperfusion, suggesting delayed recovery from I/R injury in Treg-depleted animals.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that antigen-dependent activation of CD4+ T cells through their TCRs contributes to hepatic I/R injury. Among the subsets of CD4+ T cells, γδ T cells appear to facilitate neutrophil recruitment, and NKT cells directly mediate liver injury. In contrast, NK cells and Treg seem to have no role in the acute response to I/R.
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