Importance and regulation of the colonic mucus barrier in a mouse model of colitis
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The colon is inhabited by a vast number of bacteria, which outnumber the human cells by 10 times and consists of more than 500 different species (16). Under normal conditions, these bacteria reside within the colonic lumen in a symbiotic relationship with the host and are believed to provide the colonicocytes with nutrients as they are converting undigested carbohydrates to short-chain fatty acids that can be used by the host as energy supply (5). How this enormous bacterial load can be controlled without overt immune responses from the innate and adaptive systems of the host is not well understood.

The colonic epithelium is covered by a continuous mucus layer, which can be divided into a loose, luminal mucus and an underlying mucus layer that adheres firmly to the mucosa (3). The major components of this mucus, the gel-forming mucins, are a part of the innate immunity and are well preserved during evolution (15). Fifteen different mucin genes have so far been described, and Muc1, 2, 3, 12, 13, and 17 are found in the colon (14). Of these, only Muc2 codes for a gel-forming mucin (10), whereas the others are membrane-bound with transmembrane regions and are thus most likely involved in cell signaling (14). Recent results show that a colonic mucus layer formed by Muc2 mucins creates a functional barrier between the host and luminal bacterial microbiota (13). By using immunohistochemistry, bacteria were found in the loosely adherent mucus layer whereas few or no bacteria were detected in the firmly adherent mucus layer closest to the epithelium (13). The physiological relevance of this barrier is clear from studies in Muc2-deficient mice, which spontaneously develop inflammation and are much more susceptible to infection by pathogens (7, 29). In addition to the barrier function, the mucus layer also functions as a shelter that is required to retain a bacterial colonization within the colon and prevents the bacteria from constantly being removed by peristalsis. Thus colonic mucus has dual functions, being a functional barrier to avoid contact of bacteria to the epithelium and simultaneously enabling bacteria persistence within the colon.

Inflammatory bowel disease is a group of chronic inflammatory conditions with unknown pathogenesis. The involvement of bacteria in the pathology of these conditions is, however, undisputed, and antibiotics are commonly used in treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. In most animal models, induction of colitis requires presence of colonic bacteria, and germ-free mice have been shown to be protected against colitis (25), supporting the theory that colitis involves bacterial infiltration of the mucosa.

The colonic mucus has been investigated in several studies of patients with colonic inflammation and was found to be
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals.** Mice weighing between 22 and 43 g were used. Male C57Bl/6 and NMRI mices were purchased from B & K Universal, Stockholm, Sweden. Homozygous Muc1-deficient mice (both sexes, background C57Bl/6, Mayo Clinic Collage of Medicine, Scottsdale, AZ) and homozygous Muc2-deficient mice (both sexes, on a C57Bl/6 background, Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, NY) were used. All animals were kept under standardized conditions of temperature (21–22°C) and illumination (12 h light-12 h dark). They were kept in cages with mesh bottoms and had free access to tap water and pelleted food (Ewos, Södertälje, or Lactamin, Kistad, Sweden). Male germ-free NMRI mice (22–30 g, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden) were kept at standard conditions (temperature 21–22°C) and illumination (12 h light-12 h dark) in lightweight stainless steel isolators. They received autoclaved rodent diet R36 (Lactamin, Södertälje, Sweden). The germ-free status was checked weekly by culturing fecal samples, both aerobically and anaerobically at 20 and 37°C for up to 4 wk. All experimental procedures in this study were approved by the Swedish Laboratory Animal Ethical Committee in Uppsala and were conducted in accordance with guidelines of the Swedish National Board for Laboratory Animals.

**Animal preparation for intravital microscopy.** The mice were anesthetized with spontaneous inhalation of isoflurane (Forene, Abbott Scandinavia, Solna, Sweden). The inhalation gas was administered continuously through a breathing mask (Simtec Engineering) and contained a mixture of 40% oxygen, 60% nitrogen, and ≈2.2% isoflurane. Body temperature was maintained at 37°C by means of a heating pad controlled by a rectal thermistor probe. A catheter containing heparin dissolved in isotonic saline was placed in the left carotid artery to monitor blood pressure, and the jugular vein was cannulated for continuous infusion of Ringer’s solution at a rate of 0.35 ml/h. The colonic preparation for in vivo microscopy has been described in detail previously (3, 17). Briefly, exteriorization of the proximal part of the descending colon through a midline abdominal incision was followed by a lengthwise incision along the antimesenteric border by electric cautery. The animal was placed on its left side on a Lucite table with a part of the colon loosely draped over a truncated cone at the center of the table, with the mucosal surface facing upward. A mucosal chamber, with a hole in the bottom corresponding to the position of the cone, was placed over the mucosa exposing ~0.07 cm² of the mouse mucosa through the hole. The mucosal chamber did not touch the mucosa, to not impair blood flow, and the edges of the hole were sealed with silicon grease (Dow Corning High Vacuum Grease, Dow Corning, Weisbaden, Germany).

The mucosal chamber was filled with 3 ml of 0.9% NaCl maintained at 37°C by means of circulating warm water in a jacket in the bottom of the chamber. The colonic mucosa was observed in a stereomicroscope (Leica MZ12, Leica, Heerbrugg, Switzerland) and was transilluminated with light from a 150-W light source guided by fiber optics.

**Measurements of the mucus thickness in vivo.** Mucus thickness was measured with micropipettes connected to a micromanipulator (Leitz, Wetzlar, Germany) with a digimatic indicator (IDC Series 543, Mitutoyo, Tokyo, Japan). Glass tubing (borosilicate tubing with 1.2 mm OD and 0.6 mm ID; Frederick Haer, Brunswick, ME) was pulled with a pipette puller (pp-83; Narishige Scientific Instrument Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan) to a tip diameter of 1–3 μm. To prevent mucus adhering to glass, the pipettes were silicized by dipping the tip of the micropipette into a silicon solution (MS1107, 25% acetone) followed by drying at 100°C for 30 min. The luminal surface of the mucus gel was visualized by placing graphite particles (activated charcoal, extra pure, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) on the gel, and the colonic epithelial cell surface was visible through the microscope. The micropipette was inserted into the mucus gel at an angle of ~30° to the surface. The angle was measured with a protractor, and the same angle was maintained throughout an experiment. The distances traveled by the micropipette from the luminal surface of the mucus gel to the epithelial cell surface were measured and a mean value was calculated. The mucus thickness, which is the vertical distance between the cell surface and the luminal mucus surface, was then calculated. The mean value of four to five different measurements was taken as one thickness value. Prior to measurement of the thickness of the firmly adherent mucus, the degraded luminal mucus layer was removed by gentle suction with a thin polyethylene cannula connected to a syringe. This procedure was conducted under supervision through a stereomicroscope to avoid contact with the epithelium. In some of the experiments, lipopolysaccharide (LPS, 0.2 mg/ml) or peptidoglycan (PGN, 0.2 mg/ml) was added topically to the exposed colonic mucosa, and mucus thickness was measured 45 min later.

**Induction of colitis.** Colitis was induced by giving 1 or 3% dextran sulfate sodium (DSS, TdB Consultancy AB, Uppsala, Sweden), molecular weight 40 kDa, ad libitum in drinking water for up to 8 days.

**Assessment of colitis.** The severity of colitis was assessed daily by using a disease activity index (DAI). DAI is the combined score of weight loss, stool consistency, and presence or absence of fecal blood divided by 3. The score ranges from 0 to 4. The DAI scoring system has been described in detail by Murthy et al. (20).

**Histology.** Samples of the distal colon were fixed in 4% formalin, processed, embedded in wax, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin-eosin or periodic acid-Schiff stain. T cell infiltration was investigated by using the monoclonal antibody against the T cell receptor (anti-CD3 mAb, Lab Vision, Fremont, CA).

**Bacterial analysis.** Homogenized spleens (100 μl) were diluted with 900 μl peptone water and the samples were then serially diluted. A 100-μl aliquot of each dilution was spread on BHI agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) plates, which were incubated at 37°C for 48 h in anaerobic atmosphere (Gaspack system, BD, Sparks, MD).

**Statistical analysis.** The results are expressed as means ± SE. For statistical evaluations of the in vivo mucus measurement analysis, ANOVA for multiple comparisons was performed when comparing data between groups. ANOVA was followed by Fisher’s protected least significant difference test. The differences were regarded as significant at P < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Colonic mucus layer decreased in thickness as inflammation progressed.** We investigated the thickness of the colonic firmly adherent mucus during onset and establishment of colitis in a...
DSS-induced colitis was determined in a mouse model known the degree of inflammation during colitis, the severity of sepsis.

Drinking water resulted in a slightly increased DAI, which was not altered from what was observed in untreated mice on the day 9, which paralleled with the decrease in mucus thickness (Fig. 1B). Histological samples from untreated and DSS-treated C57Bl/6 mice revealed a profound mucosal infiltration of leukocytes in DSS treated but not in untreated mice (Fig. 1C and D). Low concentration of DSS (1%) administered to the drinking water resulted in a slightly increased DAI, which remained at ~1 for the investigated period of 9 days (1.2 ± 0.2 at day 9, n = 4). The thickness of the adherent mucus layer was not altered from what was observed in untreated mice on the same background (44 ± 3 μm; n = 4 and 49 ± 2 μm n = 11, respectively).

Mice lacking a colonic mucus layer developed severe colitis and sepsis. To understand how mucus thickness correlates with the degree of inflammation during colitis, the severity of DSS-induced colitis was determined in a mouse model known to be deficient in colonic mucus, the Muc2−/− mouse (13). Muc2−/− mice have been reported to develop mild colitis (4). The thickness of the adherent mucus layer was not altered from what was observed in untreated mice during onset of inflammation (day 2) after induction (Fig. 1A). This paralleled with the decrease in mucus thickness (Fig. 1B). Histological sections revealed that DSS treatment of Muc2−/− resulted in a vastly damaged mucosa, compared with untreated Muc2−/− mice (Figs. 2B, D and E). In addition, anaerobic bacteria, detected by bacterial culturing, were found in the spleens of DSS-treated Muc2−/− but not in DSS-treated wt mice, indicating that a mucus barrier is required during colitis and protects against bacterial translocation (Fig. 2F). Thus a more severe inflammation and bacterial translocation were seen in mice lacking colonic mucus, indicating that during colitis, the colonic mucus layer is still important as a barrier toward invading bacteria.

Muc1−/− mice exhibited ameliorated colitis with lower T cell infiltration and increased mucus thickness. A previous study reports a thinner firmly adherent colonic mucus thickness in Muc1−/− mice (17). If progression of inflammation is a result of the thinner mucus layer observed in wt mice during DSS treatment, we hypothesized that Muc1−/− would develop a more severe colitis since they already from the start had thinner adherent mucus. Untreated Muc1−/− mice (n = 7) had a significantly thinner firmly adherent colonic mucus layer compared with wt control mice (n = 11) (Figs. 3A and 1A, respectively), in agreement with earlier observations (17). However, after DSS treatment for 6–8 days, these mice (n = 7) exhibited increased thickness of the firmly adherent colonic

Fig. 1. The adherent mucus layer in wild-type mice decreased whereas disease activity index increased with days on dextran sulfate sodium (DSS). A: adherent mucus thickness (μm) in wild-type (C57Bl/6) mice without (untreated, n = 11) or with 3% DSS addition to the drinking water for 6–8 days (n = 9). B: disease activity index of the mice treated with DSS (solid lines) and the decreasing mucus thickness at different time points during DSS treatment (open bars) (n = 27). C and D: histological tissue sections stained with hematoxylin-eosin from untreated and DSS-treated C57Bl/6 mice, respectively. *P < 0.05; bars correspond to 100 μm.
mucosa of conventional mice (Fig. 4). When the bacterial products LPS and PGN were administered to Muc1-deficient mice (Fig. 4), DAI was significantly lower in Muc1-deficient mice compared with conventionally housed Muc2-deficient mice (n = 9) and DSS-treated Muc2-deficient mice (n = 5). Please note that the DSS treatment had to be interrupted already after 2–4 days because of severe rectal bleeding and weight loss in Muc2-deficient mice.

In addition, DAI was significantly lower in Muc1-deficient mice than in untreated wt mice (Figs. 3A and 1A, respectively). In addition, DAI was significantly lower in Muc1−/− compared with wt treated with DSS (Fig. 3B), and histological evaluation did not reveal damage to the mucosa (Fig. 3C and D). Since Muc1 has been implicated having a role in the immune system (6, 23), histological evaluation of lymphocytes with an anti-CD3 antibody (against the T cell receptor) was performed and revealed significantly fewer infiltrating T cells in the DSS-treated Muc1−/− colonic mucosa compared with wt (Fig. 3E). This suggests a regulating role of Muc1 mucin for the immune system.

Germ-free mice virtually lack adherent colonic mucus, but the mucus thickness is restored by bacterial products. To investigate the role of the commensal microbiota in mucus secretion, colonic mucus thickness was measured in germ-free mice and compared with conventionally housed mice on the same background. Interestingly, we found that the firmly adherent colonic mucus layer was very thin in the germ-free mice (9 ± 1 μm, n = 11) compared with what was observed in conventionally housed mice (37 ± 1 μm, n = 9, NMRI, Fig. 4A). When the bacterial products LPS and PGN were added to the luminal saline solution covering the colonic mucosa of conventional mice (n = 5, n = 4, respectively), no effect on the thickness of the mucus layer could be detected (Fig. 4B). However, when LPS or PGN were administered to the exposed colonic mucosal surface of germ-free mice (n = 7, n = 4, respectively), the thickness of the firmly adherent mucus layer was restored to normal levels already after 40 min of exposure (Fig. 4B). PAS staining of histological sections confirmed that mucus was stored within colonic goblet cells in both conventionally stalled mice and germ-free mice, but no secretion of mucus could be detected in the germ-free mice (Fig. 4C and D).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we investigated how the firmly adherent mucus barrier is altered during inflammation, and how bacterial products influence this mucus barrier. We found that during DSS induction of colitis the thickness of the mucus gel decreased at the same time as the mice developed increasing symptoms of colitis, assessed by DAI. Mice deficient in Muc2 lacked a colonic mucus layer and were more susceptible to colitis. The thickness of the adherent mucus increased by DSS treatment in Muc1−/− mice, which were more susceptible to colitis. Whether or not the failing mucus barrier is a cause or a result of the inflammation is not known. One of the most commonly used animal models of colitis is DSS-induced inflammation, which resembles ulcerative colitis in terms of localization of inflammation as well as clinical symptoms. The mechanism through which DSS induces colonic mucosal inflammation is not completely known, but recent results indicate that sulfate groups of the DSS molecules destabilize the mucus and make it more susceptible to bacterial adherence and invasion.
deficient in Muc2, demonstrating that expression of other
gel-forming mucins was not upregulated in this model. These
genetically modified mice spontaneously developed a mild
colitis, as previously reported (29). If the mechanism behind
DSS induction of colitis is due to a destruction of the mucus
barrier, one could predict that no further inflammation would
develop in Muc2−/− since these mice already lack a mucus gel
layer. However, when Muc2−/− were challenged with DSS
3%, they rapidly developed serious colonic and extraintestinal
symptoms within a couple of days with rectal bleeding and
reluctance to move and eat. Bacterial translocation was con-
firmed in spleens from these mice in contrast to DSS-treated wt
mice. As a consequence, the treatments had to be terminated
and experiments performed at day 2–4 because of ethical
considerations. DSS treatment and inflammation did not result
in mucus secretion in the Muc2−/− mice, demonstrating that no
compensation of other secreted gel forming mucins occurred
during inflammation. These results implicate that the DSS has
a direct toxic effect and that the inflammation observed by DSS
is not restricted to decreasing the barrier function of the mucus
layer. Since a more severe inflammation and bacterial translo-
cation were seen in mice lacking colonic mucus, the colonic
mucus layer is still important as a barrier toward invading
bacteria during colitis.

We have previously found that Muc1, a membrane bound
non-gel forming mucin, regulates the thickness of the colonic
mucus in a still unknown way, since the adherent mucus was
thinner in Muc1−/− mice (17). On the basis of these findings,
we hypothesized that Muc1−/− mice would be more suscepti-
ble to DSS colitis. Interestingly, DSS treatment of Muc1−/−
mice resulted in increased adherent mucus thickness and
milder symptoms of colitis compared with DSS-treated wt
mice (Fig. 3, A and B). These somewhat surprising results most
probably reflect pleiotropic effects of Muc1. In the literature,
Muc1 has been reported to be a part of the gastric mucosal
barrier to infection by Helicobacter pylori (18). Muc1−/− mice
displayed increased bacterial colonization of the stomach and
greater TNF-α and keratinocyte chemotactrant transcript lev-
els compared with Muc1+ mice following experimental
H. pylori infection (9,19). This effect might be caused by
epithelial Muc1 functioning as a steric hindrance and decoy
receptor that prevents direct contact between the mucosa and
the luminal bacteria (19). Epithelial adherence of H. pylori is of
outermost importance for its pathogenicity, which depends at
least in part on the ability of bacteria to inject peptidoglycan
and CagA into epithelial cells and thereby initiate inflam-
mation (4). Patients with symptomatic H. pylori infections
most often carry bacteria expressing the Cag pathogenicity
islet (cag PAI), which is a cluster of genes coding for the
type IV secretion apparatus and CagA (4). However, type IV
secretion systems involved in interactions with eukaryotic
cells are not normally observed in the bacterial strains that
form the normal intestinal microbiota. Muc1-deficient mice
do not suffer from colonic inflammation as a result of
infiltration of the commensal flora (Fig. 3). This observation
and the low amount of Muc1 in colon suggest that Muc1
does not have an important role in separating colonic epi-
thelium from bacteria during colonic homeostasis.

The mild clinical symptoms observed in Muc1-deficient
mice in response to DSS could partly be explained by the
increased adherent mucus thickness found in these mice. How-
ever, evaluation of immunohistological sections of DSS-

Fig. 3. Muc1-deficient mice are partly protected against DSS colitis by
increased adherent mucus thickness and decreased T cell infiltration.
A: adherent colonic mucus thickness (μm) in Muc1-deficient mice with (n =
7) or without (n = 7) DSS treatment for 6–8 days; B: disease activity index
in wild-type (n = 9) or Muc1-deficient mice (n = 7) after DSS treatment. *P <
0.05. C and D: representative histological samples stained with hematoxylin-
eosin from Muc1-deficient mice with or with DSS treatment. E: immunohis-
tological staining with an anti-CD3 mAb (as indicated by arrows) and quan-
tification of infiltrated T cells in the DSS-treated wild-type (n = 3) or
Muc1-deficient (n = 5) colonic mucosa (number of infiltrated T cells per mm2
colonic mucosa). Significantly fewer T cells were observed in the mucosa of
Muc1−/− mice. Bars correspond to 100 μm.
treated Muc1−/− revealed defect T cell recruitment in the colon compared with DSS-treated wt mice. These results suggest that Muc1 mucin can have a regulatory role in the immune system (6, 23). In addition, Muc1-deficient mice have been found to express slightly higher levels of both Muc2 and Muc3 mucin transcripts in the crypts in the ileum (21). Mice in which the genes coding for both Muc1 and Cftr were inactivated showed that the protein levels of Muc3(17) was twice as high compared with mice with only inactivated Cftr (17). Hence, Muc3 seems to be upregulated in Muc1-deficient mice, and therefore might in addition to the increased mucus thickness and defect T cell recruitment contribute to the decreased bacterial translocation.

Mice lacking a functional Cftr channel, as in cystic fibrosis, develop mucus obstruction of their intestine and failure to thrive. However, in Cftr−/− mice also lacking the Muc1 mucin, the intestinal accumulation of mucus was milder, Muc 3 mucins were increased, and the animals were healthier (11, 21). There is still no explanation for these observations, but they suggest that certain forms of Muc1 can stimulate mucus release in the intestine. Toll-like receptor signaling activates NF-κB, and a binding site of this transcription factor has been detected on the Muc2 gene promoter site (2). Some of the Toll-like receptor ligands are bacterial products such as LPS and PGN, and the influence of bacteria on mucus secretion has been implied in vitro since LPS was shown to stimulate secretion of Muc5ac and Muc5b mucins in a goblet cell line (26). Furthermore, administration of LPS to germ-free rats increased expelled luminal mucus, an observation that might mirror increased mucus secretion but could also be a result of mucus degradation (8). In the present study, when the thickness of the adherent colonic mucus was measured in germ-free mice and compared with conventionally housed mice on the same background, we found that the colonic mucus layer was virtually absent in the germ-free mice compared with conventionally housed mice. Thus in the absence of bacteria, the colonic mucus seems not to be required as a barrier between host and lumen and consequently the mucus layer is very thin. Indeed, as soon as the bacterial products and Toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands LPS (TLR4) and PGN (TLR2) were administered in vivo, the thickness of the firmly adherent mucus barrier was quickly restored, probably because of release of prestored mucus from goblet cells. Histological sections confirmed that mucus was stored within colonic goblet cells in conventionally staked as well as in germ-free mice, but no mucus being secreted could be detected in the germ-free mice. Since DSS treatment was shown to acutely decrease the barrier function of the mucus (12), this could facilitate for TLR ligands to reach the mucosa and thus increase mucus secretion as observed in DSS-treated Muc1−/− mice. Furthermore, these results may indicate that colonic luminal bacteria can affect the host by stimulating mucus release. A recent study reports the ability of Muc2 mucins in regulating commensal and pathogen numbers in the colon (7). The present study expands this observation since secretion of Muc2 mucins was shown to be regulated by bacterial products LPS and PGN, unraveling bacterial regulation of mucus thickness.

In conclusion, this study shows that decreased mucus thickness correlates with disease progression in the DSS mouse model of colitis. Although mice deficient in Muc2 that lacked a colonic mucus layer were more susceptible to colitis, the Muc1−/− mice developed a very mild colitis. The mild colitis might be due to an increased colonic mucus barrier but also a decreased ability to recruit T cells to the affected region. In addition, the adherent colonic mucus was extremely thin in germ-free mice, but was quickly restored by luminal exposure of the bacterial products LPS and PGN to normal levels, indicating the ability of bacteria to regulate the colonic environment.
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