Obesity, diabetes mellitus, and liver fibrosis
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Chiang DJ, Pritchard MT, Nagy LE. Obesity, diabetes mellitus, and liver fibrosis. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 300: G697–G702, 2011. First published February 24, 2011; doi:10.1152/ajpgi.00426.2010.—Obesity is a global epidemic with more than 1 billion overweight adults and at least 300 million obese patients worldwide. Diabetes is characterized by a defect in insulin secretion or a decrease in sensitivity to insulin, which results in elevated fasting blood glucose. Both obesity and elevated fasting glucose are risk factors for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, a disease spectrum that includes hepatic steatosis (nonalcoholic fatty liver), nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), fibrosis, and cirrhosis. Increased adiposity and insulin resistance contribute to the progression from NASH to fibrosis through the development of a profibrotic milieu in the liver, including increased hepatocellular death, increased reactive oxygen species generation, and an altered adipokine/cytokine balance. This review will summarize recent advances in our understanding of the pathological interactions among excessive fat accumulation, insulin resistance, and hepatic fibrogenesis and discuss specific molecular pathways that may be of interest in the development of therapeutic interventions to prevent and/or reverse hepatic fibrosis.

Mechanisms of Interaction

Obesity and NAFL. Excess calorie intake over energy expenditure results in energy storage in the form of body fat. Weight gain of 10% by overfeeding of fast food and sedentary lifestyle in 18 young healthy subjects has been shown to increase liver fat by 2.5-fold in 4 wk (19). Fat is stored as triglycerides in the liver. Evidence from stable isotope studies indicates that serum free fatty acid from lipolysis of visceral adipose tissue is the main source of hepatic triglycerides in NAFLD. In addition, de novo hepatic lipogenesis is also significantly increased in NAFLD subjects (10). The hepatic gene expression of sterol regulatory element-binding protein 1c, a key transcriptional activator of lipogenic genes, as well as of acetyl-CoA carboxylases and fatty acid synthase is increased in NAFLD subjects (10). The hepatic activity of lipogenic enzymes such as acetyl-CoA carboxylase-1 and fatty acid synthase is significantly decreased in NAFLD subjects (10).

NASH and insulin resistance. The underlying mechanisms that contribute to obesity-induced insulin resistance are not entirely understood. Recent studies suggest that obesity may lead to hepatic insulin resistance through the activation of the proinflammatory M1 macrophages in adipose tissues and the release of proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-6 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α (40). Proinflammatory cytokines may decrease the cellular response to insulin and result in insulin resistance. IL-6 blocks the insulin signaling pathway at least in part through the induction of suppressor of cytokine signaling-3 (29). In addition, inflammatory stress may upregulate the production of IL-6 in adipocytes through the activation of the c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase (JNK1) (38). Several observational studies in humans have also shown that hepatic lipid accumulation (steatosis) is involved in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance (44).

NAFL and NASH. NAFL progresses to NASH in as many as 40% of patients over time (25, 48). Multiple, interconnected pathways contribute to the progression from steatosis to steatohepatitis. An excess supply of nonesterified free fatty acids (NEFA) to the liver increases mitochondrial and peroxisomal β-oxidation and promotes microsomal induction of CYP4A1 and CYP2E1; this leads to increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) production (22). Elevated ROS then promotes organellar toxicity through increased lipid peroxidation and inflammation. Indeed, hepatic mitochondrial dysfunction is found in subjects with steatosis (39). Furthermore, structural abnormalities in the mitochondria, including megamitochondria and paracrystalline...
inclusion bodies (39), and a marked decrease in the activity of mitochondrial respiratory chain complexes are observed in patients with NASH (35). In addition to these deleterious effects on mitochondria, NEFA also induce apoptosis of hepatocytes through upregulation of Fas, activation of JNK, and destabilization of lysosomes (23).

Adipokines and inflammatory cytokines from adipocytes may mediate pathological interactions between adipose tissue and liver. Because adipokines possess both pro- and anti-inflammatory functions, an imbalance between particular adipokines and cytokines may promote liver injury in steatohepatitis. In patients with NAFLD, plasma levels of adiponectin thought to derive from adipocytes are decreased and inversely related to hepatic insulin resistance and hepatic inflammation (16). High TNF-α and low adiponectin plasma levels have been suggested as independent predictors of NASH in patients with NAFLD (16). On the other hand, serum leptin and resistin are positively correlated with insulin resistance, hepatic steatosis, and liver injury (7, 32). These studies suggest a pathogenic link between obesity-induced insulin resistance and NAFLD (Fig. 1).

**NASH and fibrosis.** Hepatic stellate cells (HSC) are liver-specific pericytes localized to an area between hepatocytes and sinusoidal endothelium called the space of Disse (11). In a healthy liver, quiescent HSCs store 80% of the body’s vitamin A as retinyl esters in their cytoplasm (11). In an acutely injured liver, HSCs lose their vitamin A stores, transdifferentiate into contractile myofibroblasts, remodel extracellular matrix (ECM), and contribute to hepatic wound healing. In contrast, in a chronically injured liver, HSCs promote the development of fibrosis through excessive ECM production and reduced ECM metabolism (11).

Although 30–40% of people with simple steatosis progress to NASH, 74% of NASH patients progress to more severe liver injury, including fibrosis and cirrhosis (25). Increased adiposity and insulin resistance in obese subjects contribute to the progression of NASH to fibrosis. Increased presence of dead and dying hepatocytes, increased ROS, and altered adipokine/cytokine production are thought to promote a profibrotic milieu in the liver. We will briefly describe each of these contributing factors in the following sections of this review.

A major difference between NAFL and NASH is the increased number of apoptotic and/or necrotic hepatocytes detected in the liver (17). These dead and/or dying cells provide HSCs with critical signals to promote their activation; these signals can act both directly and/or indirectly on HSCs. For example, Kupffer cells, activated in part by hepatocyte cell death, produce transforming growth factor-β, ROS, and lipid peroxidation products, each of which is a potent stimulus for HSC activation (17).

Production of ROS promotes HSC activation and progression to fibrosis. Indeed, in a hyperinsulinemic and insulin-resistant state, ROS production is increased, in part, through increased CYP2E1 induction and activity, even in the absence of alcohol consumption (25). This is because of the loss of the repressive effect of insulin on CYP2E1 expression (25). Increased oxidant stress then can directly increase collagen production by activated HSC, particularly in the antioxidant-depleted hepatic microenvironment that occurs in advanced NASH (17, 25). Increased CYP2E1-mediated oxidant stress can further impair hepatic insulin signaling, exacerbating the insulin resistance in the liver, as well as liver injury/pathology.

Findings in nrf-1-deficient mice provide experimental evidence for a role for oxidant stress in progression from NASH to fibrosis. nrf-1 is a transcription factor that modulates the expression of oxidative stress responsive genes; in its absence, mice develop a hepatic pathology similar to NASH. Specifically, hepatocyte-specific nrf-1-deficient mice exhibit repression of metallothionein 1 and metallothionein 2, two cysteine-rich proteins that protect the liver against free radicals, excess zinc and cadmium, and toxic agents, including ethanol (30). Indeed, the full spectrum of liver disease, including steatosis, apoptosis, necrosis, inflammation, fibrosis, and cancer, is readily observed in liver-specific nrf-1−/− mice (25).

Changes in the expression of adipokines and cytokines are integral mediators of HSC activation and fibrogenesis (11, 42). Indeed, fibrosis is a common end point to chronic inflammation in an insulin-resistant state. Increased expression of leptin, TNF-α, IL-6, and monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1 are all associated with the insulin resistance and obesity (43). Reports suggest that leptin directly activates HSC (27) and also indirectly activates HSC through stimulatory effects on Kupffer cells (45). In addition to its effects on HSC activation, exposure of HSC to leptin in vitro reduces FasL-mediated apoptosis (36). These data suggest that increased leptin in NASH patients may promote survival of activated HSC and thereby contribute to fibrogenesis. Increased TNF-α expression by adipose tissue depots, as well as by hepatocytes and Kupffer cells, the resident macrophages in the liver, perpetuate insulin resistance, hyperinsulinemia, and hyperglycemia, as well as promote HSC activation and fibrogenesis. Finally, HSC produce and respond to MCP-1, a chemokine with potent activation and chemoattractant effects on HSCs and immune cells (11). Increased expression of MCP-1 increases hepatic inflammatory cell death and can therefore perpetuate HSC activation signals and progression from NASH to fibrosis (Fig. 2).

In contrast to increased production of proinflammatory mediators, insulin resistance and obesity are often associated with reductions in the potent adipose-derived anti-inflammatory mediator, adiponectin. Reduced adiponectin facilitates or exacerbates increased production of inflammatory mediators, as well as HSC activation and fibrosis. Indeed, fibrosis is more...
severe in adiponectin knockout mice maintained on a high-fat diet compared with wild-type controls, while adiponectin administration attenuates CCl4-induced fibrosis in mice (42). In vitro, adiponectin potently suppresses platelet-derived growth factor-BB-induced HSC proliferation and migration (18). Finally, NASH patients who are diabetic, insulin resistant, and/or obese often exhibit reduced plasma adiponectin levels (42). However, recent studies demonstrate that increased adiponectin is associated with advancing fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B (15). These data suggest that the regulation of adiponectin expression and its impact on liver during chronic injury and disease is likely to be more complex than originally proposed.

Additional factors that promote progression of NASH to fibrosis include increased sympathetic neurotransmitters, as well as angiotensin II, connective tissue growth factor (CTGF), and endocannabinoids. In vitro, norepinephrine promotes activation of NF-κB, proinflammatory chemokine expression, and contraction of isolated human HSC as well as collagen gene expression and proliferation of mouse HSC (5). The renin-angiotensin system is activated in the diseased liver (5), and there is evidence to suggest that blockade of angiotensin II can attenuate fibrosis in animal models (31). CTGF, a potent HSC activating cytokine, is overexpressed in patients with NASH, and increased expression of CTGF is positively associated with increased severity of hepatic fibrosis in humans (33). Consistent with these findings, Zucker rats exhibit increased hepatic CTGF mRNA and protein, and CTGF is induced in HSC incubated with high glucose or insulin (33). Endocannabinoids are increased, and endocannabinoid signaling enhanced, in livers from obese and insulin-resistant patients (26). Indeed, there is considerable evidence to suggest that not only does the endocannabinoid system contribute to insulin resistance and liver steatosis but it also, via the CB1 receptor, directly promotes progression to liver fibrosis in mice; by contrast, CB2 receptors exhibit antifibrotic function in the liver (26).

Synergy between obesity and insulin resistance in fibrosis progression. Obesity is not only a risk factor for hepatic fibrosis through the progression of NAFLD but also has a synergistic effect on a superimposed or secondary hepatic injury. Prospective cohort studies from the United Kingdom indicate that the combination of obesity and alcohol consumption of 150 g or more each week in women is associated with a marked increased risk of cirrhosis compared with obese women who drank <70 g of alcohol per week (24). Furthermore, excess body weight and alcohol appear to have a synergistic rather than additive effect on the progression of liver disease (13). Indeed, obesity is an independent risk factor for alcohol-induced liver damage that appears to exacerbate each stage in the disease progression (8); this appears to be related to excessive alcohol consumption, since moderate alcohol consumption in obese patients is associated with reduced fibrotic progression (50). Although there are likely shared mechanisms responsible for the hepatic pathology associated with NASH and alcohol-induced liver injury, the presence of ethanol and ethanol metabolism is one important difference between the two conditions. Ethanol metabolism and subsequent generation of toxic metabolites, such as acetaldehyde,
have potent effects on HSC activation (4). Another mechanism by which alcohol can accelerate fibrosis in obese individuals is through ethanol-induced increases in CYP2E1, which are greater than the levels of CYP2E1 induced by obesity alone (41). Increased CYP2E1-mediated production of ROS and lipid peroxidation products in obese humans who are also heavy alcohol consumers could therefore worsen obesity-induced liver injury and accelerate progression to fibrosis.

Obesity and alcohol abuse are both associated with increased proinflammatory gene expression (41). Therefore, in obese individuals who concurrently abuse alcohol, increases in molecules that directly or indirectly cause liver injury appear to accelerate the progression from NASH to fibrosis.

**Pharmacological Targets of Interest**

The cornerstone of intervention for chronic liver disease and fibrosis is removal of the primary etiologic agent responsible for initiating and perpetuating liver injury. In obese patients, weight loss remains the only effective therapy. In fact, weight loss of 5–10% of body weight decreases liver fat by 40–80% in nondiabetic subjects and type 2 diabetic patients (21). Histological improvement in liver is observed after bariatric surgery. Patients who lose weight after bariatric surgery also show attenuated inflammation and fibrosis at two years postsurgery (9, 12). Advances in our understanding of the molecular mechanisms that contribute to NAFLD, NASH, and fibrosis in obese and/or diabetic subjects has led to active investigation of several promising targets of intervention as outlined in Fig. 2. A select number of these potential therapeutic options will be described in the following section.

**Insulin sensitizers, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors, and antioxidants.** Insulin sensitizers peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor agonists and antioxidants are rational approaches to the treatment of NASH based on proposed mechanisms of pathogenesis. However, the largest randomized controlled trial to date comparing oral pioglitazone at 30 mg daily, oral vitamin E at 800 international units (IU) daily, or placebo for 96 weeks showed no evidence for global histological improvement in patients receiving pioglitazone vs. placebo, although there were improvements in some histological features, such as hepatic steatosis and lobular inflammation. In addition, patients receiving pioglitazone gained more weight than those receiving vitamin E or placebo. Treatment with vitamin E was associated with significant improvement in the global recommended daily allowance for adults at 22.5 IU. Two meta-analyses of large randomized trials have revealed increased mortality in individuals receiving high-dose vitamin E supplementation (1, 28). The implications of these analyses for the potential adverse effects of high-dose vitamin E as a treatment for patients with obesity and NAFLD are unclear. Whether pioglitazone or vitamin E may be beneficial to a subgroup of patients is yet to be determined.

**Angiogenesis inhibitors.** Hepatic angiogenesis is a required multistep mechanism in response to tissue injury and/or hypoxia to restore tissue integrity and oxygen homeostasis. Angiogenesis and the disruption of normal liver vasculature are linked to the progression of cirrhosis in chronic liver diseases. Early studies using angiogenesis inhibitors demonstrated promising results in fibrosis prevention (46, 49). However, a recent study showed that integrin αβ3 may aggravate experimental liver fibrosis despite suppression of angiogenesis in rat models (34). Given that angiogenesis is an integral part of wound healing response, normalization of excessive, pathological angiogenesis may be a potential therapeutic approach to attenuate fibrosis.

**Adenosine receptor antagonists.** Hypoxia results in hepatic adenosine accumulation and A2A receptor activation, which, in turn, leads to increased phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and protein kinase B/Akt phosphorylation in animal models (2). A2A receptor activation enhances HSC activation, collagen production, and profibrotic collagen production. A2A receptor-deficient mice are protected from CCl4- and thioacetamide-induced fibrosis (3). Therefore, A2A receptor antagonist may be a potential treatment for liver fibrosis.

**Inhibition of HSC activation and promotion of ECM metabolism.** HSC activation and subsequent ECM deposition are hallmarks of hepatic fibrogenesis. A disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs 2 (ADAMTS2) is an enzyme responsible for processing procollagen proteins into collagen fibrils, which is an important determinant of hepatic fibrosis reversibility. In ADAMTS2 knockout mice, the extent of liver injury after CCl4 was similar to that of wild-type mice. However, collagen fibril diameter was reduced and collagen bundles less dense in the ADAMTS2-deficient mice; this was associated with slightly faster fibrosis reversal after cessation of CCl4 exposure (20). Sustained production of tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) during liver injury could inhibit the activity of interstitial collagenases, leading to reduced degradation of the ECM. In addition, TIMP-1 has antiapoptotic effects on HSC. Therefore, inhibition of TIMPs is a potential therapy for liver fibrosis. In fact, use of matrix metalloproteinase-9 mutant proteins as TIMP-1 scavengers in mice reduces fibrosis accumulation by enhancing matrix resorption (37). Given the central role of activated HSC in fibrogenesis, the inhibition of HSC activation, clearance of activated HSC, or reversal of activated HSC into a quiescent phenotype is a rational approach for antifibrotic therapies.

**Conclusion**

Available evidence from animal models and population-based studies suggest a causal relationship between excess fat accumulation, insulin resistance, and progression to fibrosis. Although the mechanisms of obesity-induced insulin resistance and its association with liver fibrosis are incompletely understood, recent studies indicate an intricate cross talk between adipose tissue and liver through adipokines and inflammatory cytokines. The adipokines and inflammatory cytokines released from adipose tissue may promote hepatic insulin resistance and contribute to the progression of NAFLD. Furthermore, the presence of hepatic steatosis may also aggravate the progression of liver fibrosis in the setting of secondary or superimposed liver injury such as in alcohol abusers. Activation of the JNK-1 pathway is central in the pathogenesis of obesity-induced insulin resistance. Excess hepatic triglycerides and oxidative stress may lead to tissue injury and activation of repair mechanisms. These repair processes involve recruitment of immune cells, angiogenesis, activation of HSC, and subsequent ECM deposition. Weight loss remains the cornerstone of current therapy. Recent studies have identified several potential targets for pharmacological intervention in the fibrogenesis pathway, including the JNK-1 signaling pathway, antiangiogenic
agents, cytokines and their receptors, and the adenosine receptor pathway. The modulation of HSC activation and ECM remodeling is an active area of investigation and may also lead to novel therapeutic interventions.
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