Indomethacin-induced translocation of bacteria across enteric epithelia is reactive oxygen species-dependent and reduced by vitamin C
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THE INTESTINAL EPITHELIUM is the major interface with the external environment, tasked with the seemingly paradoxical roles of absorbing nutrients and water while restricting the free movement of luminal material into the body; this barrier function is a key component of innate immunity. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID)-induced enteropathy occurs via inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis and perturbed epithelial mitochondrial activity. Here, we assessed the regulation of epithelial permeability (14, 24). Using small-molecular-weight probes that typically enter epithelial permeability is reactive oxygen species-dependent and reduced by vitamin C. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 303: G536–G545, 2012.

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), such as indomethacin and piroxicam, are associated with significant gastrointestinal side effects, particularly bleeding, gastric mucosal erosions and ulcers, and increased small bowel permeability (15, 36). The exact mechanism behind the NSAID-induced barrier dysfunction is not completely understood. The loss of cytoprotection due to NSAID-induced inhibition of cyclooxygenase (COX) activity and, hence, prostaglandin synthesis is certainly one component of NSAID-induced enteropathy (16, 43, 47). Studies have also linked indomethacin-induced gastropathy to mitochondrial damage and dysfunction and, consequently, oxidative stress and apoptosis of gastric mucosal cells (19, 26, 43, 45). Similarly, intestinal lesions elicited by indomethacin can be accompanied by swollen and irregular mitochondria in the epithelium, cardinal signs of mitochondrial damage and oxidative stress (4). Other stimuli, such as infection and inflammation, can evoke increases in epithelial permeability, and each has been shown to elicit some degree of mitochondrial damage in the epithelium (3, 5, 8). Thus, we recently posited that metabolic stress in the enterocyte could be a common denominator in inflammatory bowel disease, which may be of particular relevance in disease relapse (40).

NSAID treatment can increase intestinal permeability in patients suffering from Crohn’s disease (28, 42, 51). These studies used small-molecular-weight probes that typically enter the mucosa via the paracellular permeation pathway. Given the association between the commensal flora, decreased epithelial barrier function, and intestinal inflammation (1), the following issue arises: Does NSAID treatment enhance commensal bacterial crossing of the epithelium? If so, by what route do the bacteria cross the epithelium and do reactive oxygen species (ROS), a product of oxidative stress, participate in this event? Also, if ROS are involved in NSAID-induced increases in epithelial permeability, would antioxidant agents, added as nutritional supplements, block the barrier dysfunction?

Monolayers of the human T84 crypt-like colonic epithelial cell line have been widely used as an in vitro model system to assess the regulation of epithelial permeability (14, 24). Using this model, we found that indomethacin, but not piroxicam [both of which inhibit COX-1 and -2 activity (49)], increased epithelial internalization and translocation of nonpathogenic, noninvasive Escherichia coli. This effect of indomethacin was accompanied by prolonged production of ROS, and cotreatment with vitamin C (or epigallocatechin gallate [EGCG], a
green tea polyphenol] quenched the ROS and significantly reduced the epithelial barrier defect. These findings suggest that administration of antioxidants + indomethacin would ablate the increases in epithelial permeability while leaving the NSAID’s COX-inhibitory/anti-inflammatory effect intact.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell Culture**

The human colon-derived crypt-like T84 cell line (passages 42–100; American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) was cultured at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in a 1:1 mixture of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium and Ham’s F-12 medium, supplemented with 2% penicillin-streptomycin, 1.5% FBS, and 10% FBS (41). To evaluate epithelial barrier function, 1 × 10⁶ cells/ml were seeded onto semipermeable filters (3-µm pore size, 1.2-cm² surface area; Greiner Biosciences (VWR)) and cultured until transepithelial resistance (TER) values in this study were 1,000 – 3,500 Ω/cm². For immunoblotting and quantitative PCR analyses, cells were seeded at a density of 5 × 10⁶ cells/well in 12-well plastic cell culture plates and used at ~70% confluence, as determined by phase-contrast microscopy.

**Chemicals and Bacteria**

Indomethacin and piroxicam [which have similar, but not identical, IC₅₀ for COX inhibition (34, 49)] and SC-560 (all at 1 µM) (24), vitamin C (0.25 mM), and the green tea extract EGCG (100 µM; Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO) were added alone or in combination to the apical side of filter-grown epithelial monolayers. E. coli (strain HB101) was grown and cultured overnight in an orbital shaker in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth at 37°C and added to the apical surface of confluent monolayers at a final concentration of 10⁶ colony-forming units (cfu)/ml (23, 30, 31, 41). A putative toxic effect of all drugs on the E. coli was evaluated in 24-h bacterial growth curves, with optical density and agar plate colony growth used to evaluate bacterial cell numbers.

**Assessing Metabolic Stress**

**Epithelial mitochondrial activity.** T84 cells (10⁵) were seeded in 96-well plates, cultured until ~70% confluent, and exposed to indomethacin, piroxicam, or SC-560 for 16 h. Mitochondrial activity was evaluated following treatment by measurement of the mitochondrial-dependent reduction of colorless 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) to a blue formazan. Briefly, cells were washed with phenol red-free RPMI medium, supplemented with FBS (10%) and HEPES (36 µM), and incubated with MTT (100 µM) for 4 h at 37°C. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 50 µl of acidic isopropanol, and absorbance was measured at 595 nm. Results are expressed in arbitrary units.

**Assessment of mRNA for mitochondria-associated enzymes.** Gene expression of ATP synthase, peroxiredoxin 3, and NADH coenzyme Q reductase (GenBank no. NM_002496.3), and ATACATGCCGAAGGCGCTG (forward) and GGTGCATGGCATGAACCTGGTG (reverse) for peroxiredoxin 3 (GenBank no. NM_006793), CCACCATCAACTCCCCGTTT (forward) and AAGGCGCATAGTAGCATCCTT (reverse) for NADH coenzyme Q reductase (GenBank no. NM_002496.3), and ATACATGCCGAAGGCGCTG (forward) and GAGGCGGTACCCGGTTGTTT (reverse) for 18S rRNA (GenBank no. NR_003286.2).

**Detection of ROS.** The production of ROS was determined using the cell-permeable detection reagent chloromethyl 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (CM-H₂DCFDA; excitation at 488 nm, emission at 530 nm; Invitrogen). T84 cells (2 × 10⁵ cells) were seeded onto glass coverslips and cultured until ~30% confluent in 12-well plates. After 6 h of exposure to NSAID in the presence or absence of E. coli, the cells were rinsed twice in 37°C PBS and incubated with 10 µM CM-H₂DCFDA for 30 min at 37°C in the dark. After three quick rinses in PBS, coverslips were fixed in fresh 4% PFA for 5 min and washed in PBS three times for 2 min each. Epithelia were then treated with the nuclear stain 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, 0.2 µg/ml) for 5 min at room temperature in the dark. Coverslips were rinsed 3 times in PBS and mounted on slides using the Fluorosave reagent (Calbiochem) and stored in the dark at 4°C prior to examination. Coded slides were assessed in a blinded fashion for the degree and pattern of fluorescence on an Olympus BX41 microscope using a standard filter for green fluorescence and a UV-light filter for detection of DAPI with a ×40 objective. Images were captured using QCapture software (Olympus Canada, Mississauga, ON, Canada), and background fluorescence was accounted for and subtracted from the mean fluorescence intensity of each stain.

**Labeling of mitochondria and detection of mitochondrial superoxide.** Mitochondria were visualized using the fluorescent dye MitoTracker Green (Invitrogen; excitation at 490 nm, emission at 516 nm). For specific analysis of mitochondrial production of superoxide, the cell-permeable Mitosox Red reagent was used (Invitrogen). Mitosox Red selectively targets mitochondria and fluoresces when rapidly oxidized by superoxide (excitation at 510 nm, emission at 580 nm). T84 cells (2 × 10⁵ cells) were seeded onto glass coverslips and cultured until ~30% confluent in 12-well plates. After 6 h of exposure to NSAID in the presence and absence of E. coli, the cells were washed twice in PBS and coincubated with 5 µM Mitosox Red, 200 nM MitoTracker Green, and 0.2 µg/ml DAPI for 30 min at 37°C in the dark. After two 5-min washes in HBSS, the coverslips were fixed in 4% PFA for 15 min at room temperature and, again, subjected to two 5-min washes in HBSS. Coverslips were mounted on slides and stored in the dark at 4°C prior to examination. The degree and pattern of fluorescence were determined using an Olympus BX41 microscope. Images were captured using a ×60 objective and QCapture software. Identical conditions and exposure times were used in each experiment and set to avoid overexposure of the Mitosox Red, MitoTracker Green, and DAPI fluorescence. In additional experi-
ments, ~5-mm² pieces of murine duodenum were placed into 12-well plates in culture medium in the presence or absence of indomethacin (1 μM). After 6 h, tissues were washed twice in PBS and incubated with MitoSOX Red, MitoTracker Green, and DAPI for 30 min at 37°C in the dark. After two 5-min washes in HBSS, tissues were snap-frozen in optimal cutting temperature compound, and 7-μm cryosections were cut and mounted on coded slides. The degree of fluorescence was assessed in a blinded fashion, where a maximum of 3 points was allotted to reflect fluorescence intensity and 3 points to reflect distribution of the fluorescence, giving a maximum score of 6.

Monitoring Epithelial Barrier Function

TER. Changes in paracellular permeability were assessed by measuring TER across filter-grown T84 cell monolayers. TER was recorded at time 0 (the start of the experiment) and 16 h after treatment using a voltmeter and matched electrodes (Millicell-ERS, Millipore, Bedford, MA). Data are expressed as the percentage of the pretreatment TER value (41).

Bacterial translocation. Confluent filter-grown epithelial cell monolayers were transferred to antibiotic-free culture medium, and E. coli (10⁶ cfu) was added with or without drug treatments to the apical compartment of the culture well. After 16 h, 150-μl aliquots of basolateral media were collected, and the presence of bacteria was determined by serial dilution in PBS and overnight culture (37°C) on LB-agar plates followed by colony counting (41).

Bacterial internalization. T84 cells were seeded in 12-well plates; when they reached ~70% confluence, the cells were incubated with E. coli (10⁶ cfu) with or without various drug regimens for 16 h. A sample of culture medium was collected, and the extracellular concentration of bacteria was determined as described above. The remaining medium was aspirated, and cells were treated with gentamicin (200 μg/ml) for 45 min. The epithelia were extensively washed with PBS and lysed with cold 1% Triton X-100 for 1.5 h at 4°C, and the number of viable internalized bacteria was determined and presented as colony-forming units per milliliter of total lysate (41).

In other experiments, after gentamicin treatment, the epithelia were rinsed extensively with PBS, placed in antibiotic-free medium, and cultured for 6 and 24 h. Then aliquots of medium were collected and plated on LB-agar to determine if viable bacteria had been released from the epithelial layer (samples of culture medium were collected immediately after gentamicin withdrawal and cultured on agar, and no bacterial growth was found).

Immunoblotting

Standard immunoblotting protocols (41) were used to assess protein expression after treatment with E. coli HB101 (10⁶ cfu/ml) in the presence and absence of indomethacin. Briefly, T84 cells were lysed in 100–200 μl of ice-cold lysis buffer (20 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM NaVO₃, and complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor complex (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN)), and extracts were sonicated for 10 s and cleared by centrifugation. After protein concentrations were determined by the Bio-Rad/Bradford assay, 15 μg of protein were mixed with Laemmli loading buffer and run on a SDS-12% polyacrylamide gel (100 V for 1 h). The separated proteins were electroblotted to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (VWR), blocked with Carnation 5% nonfat milk for 1 h at room temperature, and incubated at 4°C overnight with rabbit IgG antibodies against anti-cleaved caspase-3 (1:1,000 dilution) or anti-apoptosis-inducing factor (AIF, 1:1,000 dilution; both from Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA). Membranes were washed extensively and incubated with goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:5,000 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) for 1 h at room temperature. After the membranes were washed, immunoreactive proteins were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ) and exposed to Kodak XB film (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY).

For loading control, membranes were stripped twice in 2.5% acetic acid stripping buffer, reprobed with goat IgG against actin (1:1,000 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and developed.

Data Presentation and Statistical Analysis

Data for TER, bacterial internalization and translocation, and spectrophotometric analysis are presented as means ± SE; n represents the number of epithelial monolayers from 3 experiments. *P < 0.01 vs. control. B: indomethacin did not induce apoptosis alone or in combination with E. coli, as indicated by lack of cleared caspase-3. Staurosporine (+ve) was used as a positive control. Blots are representative of 2 independent experiments. C: indomethacin caused a significant increase in transcytosis of the nonpathogenic, noninvasive E. coli strain HB101 across T84 monolayers compared with untreated (control) monolayers and monolayers treated with piroxicam or SC-560. cfu, Colony-forming units. Values are means ± SE; n = 8 epithelial monolayers from 2 experiments. #P < 0.01 vs. E. coli + piroxicam and E. coli + SC-560.
sents the number of individual epithelial monolayers from a specified number of experiments. For statistical analysis, a one-way ANOVA was followed by a post hoc Tukey’s test for pair-wise comparisons. Data generated from captured images of ROS-induced fluorescence were assessed by analysis of the mean fluorescence intensity of CM-H2DCFDA (normalized to DAPI fluorescence) by Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA. Data are presented as fold changes compared with nonstimulated control monolayers. A statistically significant difference was accepted at $P < 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

**Indomethacin Enhances Bacterial Translocation and Internalization Across T84 Monolayers**

In comparison with previous studies (31), indomethacin induced a small decrease in TER (data not shown), while *E. coli* HB101 alone ($10^6$ cfu) evoked a more marked significant decrease in TER at 16 h posttreatment (Fig. 1A) that was not enhanced by cotreatment with any of the COX inhibitors. A drop in TER could reflect an increase in epithelial cell death via apoptosis or necrosis. Analysis of caspase-3 cleavage (Fig. 1B) and release of AIF from mitochondria into the cytosol (data not shown) revealed no evidence of increased apoptosis under any of the experimental conditions. In contrast, treatment with indomethacin, but not piroxicam or SC-560, evoked a statistically significant increase in the translocation of *E. coli* across the monolayer (Fig. 1C), indicating a barrier defect that was not apparent when TER was used as the index of epithelial permeability.

Bacteria could cross the epithelium paracellularly, by passing between the tight junctions, or via a transcellular route. The gentamicin assay showed that cotreatment with indomethacin, but not piroxicam or SC-560, resulted in a significant increase in *E. coli* internalization (Fig. 2A). These data suggest that at least a portion of the bacteria that cross the epithelial monolayer do so via the transcellular pathway. Additional credence is given to this postulate by the finding that, following gentamicin treatment and substantive washes, *E. coli* that were internalized as a consequence of exposure to indomethacin were released or escaped from the enterocyte (Fig. 2B). The inability of piroxicam or SC-560 to reproduce the effects of indomethacin on bacterial internalization and transcytosis across epithelial monolayers suggests that the effect of the latter is independent of its ability to inhibit COX activity.

![Fig. 2. Indomethacin induces increased T84 epithelial cell internalization of *E. coli*. (A): indomethacin, but not piroxicam or SC-560 (all at 1 μM), induces a significant increase in internalization of nonpathogenic *E. coli* into T84 monolayers. Values are means ± SE; n = 8 epithelial monolayers from 2 experiments. *P < 0.05 vs. *E. coli* alone. #P < 0.01 vs. *E. coli* + piroxicam. (B): internalized bacteria in monolayers treated with indomethacin “escaped” into the cell culture medium and were detectable by agar colony grown in samples taken 6 and 24 h after external bacteria were killed with gentamicin. Values are means ± SE; n = 4 epithelial preparations. *P < 0.05 vs. *E. coli* at 6 h. #P < 0.01 vs. *E. coli* alone at 24 h and *E. coli* + indomethacin at 6 h.](http://ajpgi.physiology.org/)

![Fig. 3. Indomethacin reduces mitochondrial activity in T84 epithelial cells. (A): exposure of T84 cells to indomethacin (1 μM) results in a small, but statistically significant, reduction in mitochondrial activity 16 h posttreatment, as gauged by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) cleavage. OD$_{595}$nm, optical density at 595 nm. Values are means ± SE; n = 20 epithelial preparations from 3 experiments. *P < 0.05 vs. time-matched control. (B): quantitative PCR analysis revealed significant reductions in mRNA for ATP synthase and the mitochondria-associated antioxidant peroxiredoxin-3 in monolayers treated with *E. coli* (10$^6$cfu) with or without indomethacin compared with untreated (control) monolayers. Values are means ± SE; n = 6 epithelial preparations, from 2 independent experiments. *P < 0.05 vs. control.](http://ajpgi.physiology.org/)
Indomethacin Reduces Epithelial Mitochondrial Activity

With the MTT assay used as an indirect measure of mitochondrial activity, indomethacin was found to directly perturb epithelial mitochondrial activity (Fig. 3). In addition, quantitative PCR analysis revealed significant reductions in mRNA for ATP synthase and the mitochondria-associated antioxidant peroxiredoxin 3, but not NADH coenzyme Q reductase (data not shown), in epithelia treated with \textit{E. coli} and \textit{E. coli} + indomethacin (Fig. 3B).

Indomethacin-Treated Epithelia Produce Increased Amounts of ROS

Indomethacin is known to cause gastrointestinal lesions and induce increased production of ROS (26). Using this in vitro cell culture model and the ROS-activated fluorescent probe CM-H$_2$DCFDA, we observed increases in ROS in epithelial monolayers treated with \textit{E. coli}, indomethacin, and \textit{E. coli} + indomethacin at 6 h posttreatment (Fig. 4). Similar events were not found in piroxicam-treated epithelia. Specificity of the reaction was confirmed by addition of H$_2$O$_2$ as a positive control and quenching of the ROS by vitamin C (Fig. 4). This pattern of ROS production was similar, although reduced in magnitude, at 16 h posttreatment (data not shown). To evaluate the source and nature of the ROS, epithelia were stained with MitoTracker Green and MitoSOX Red. This approach confirmed that ROS were produced by epithelia exposed to indomethacin, \textit{E. coli}, and \textit{E. coli} + indomethacin and that mitochondria-derived superoxide was a component of these responses (Fig. 5). Furthermore, this imaging approach revealed that substantially more superoxide that colocalized to mitochondria was produced by \textit{T84} epithelia treated with \textit{E. coli} + indomethacin than by \textit{T84} epithelia treated with \textit{E. coli} or indomethacin alone at 6 and 16 h posttreatment (Fig. 5). In addition, short-term ex vivo culture of segments of murine duodenum revealed that 6 h of treatment with indomethacin resulted in increased mitochondria-generated superoxide, as demonstrated by MitoSOX Red fluorescence and semiquantitative analysis (Fig. 6).

Vitamin C Blocks Indomethacin-Induced Increases in Bacterial Translocation and Internalization

Positing that ROS activity contributed to the internalization and translocation of bacteria, we conducted studies with vitamin C, a potent ROS scavenger, and the green tea extract...
Fig. 5. Indomethacin induces enhanced mitochondria-derived superoxide production. Nature and source of the enhanced ROS production were determined 6 and 16 h posttreatment using MitoTracker Green (200 nM) for visualization of mitochondria and MitoSOX Red reagent (5 μM) for detection of mitochondria-specific superoxide. Epithelial nuclei were identified by 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining. Confirming the generalized ROS production, T84 cells treated with E. coli (10⁶ cfu), indomethacin (1 μM), or E. coli + indomethacin displayed increased MitoSOX Red reactivity, which was substantially increased at 6 and 16 h after E. coli + indomethacin treatment. Images are representative of 5–6 epithelial preparations from 2 separate experiments.
EGCG, which, among other properties, is a powerful antioxidant. The use of both agents significantly reduced the increase in bacterial internalization and translocation of bacteria across T84 cell monolayers (Fig. 7, A–C) caused by exposure to indomethacin + *E. coli* but was unable to ameliorate the drop in TER (Fig. 7D).

**DISCUSSION**

Physician-prescribed and available over-the-counter NSAIDs are ingested daily by millions of people (11). While this class of drug effectively suppresses inflammation and pain, NSAIDs come at the well-known costs of gastric ulceration and enteropathy and can be fatal due to gastrointestinal bleeding. NSAID use in humans (7, 22) or administration to rodents (4, 16, 43) has been repeatedly shown to increase enteric epithelial permeability, and given the role that the epithelial barrier plays in holding the myriad of luminal antigens and microbes at bay (12, 13, 43), it is not surprising that NSAIDs can initiate or reanimate intestinal disease (5, 28, 39, 42, 51). Indomethacin has been widely used to model NSAID-induced enteropathy (9, 16, 19); yet despite extensive research, the exact mechanism whereby indomethacin disrupts the epithelial barrier remains a subject of debate. Indomethacin-induced gastrointestinal lesions have been linked to the suppression of prostaglandin production (16, 43, 47). This was widely accepted as the cause of NSAID-induced enteropathy, and while there is little doubt that this is a major part of the problem, recent data implicate perturbed epithelial mitochondrial function (and oxidative stress due to ROS) (6, 26) in indomethacin-induced gastropathy and apoptosis. A role for mitochondria and, by inference, metabolic stress raises the possibility that nutritional management to combat metabolic stress (24) could offset some of the intestinal damage caused by NSAID use.

Employing monolayers of the T84 human crypt-like epithelial cell line (14, 24), we assessed the effect of NSAIDs on epithelial barrier function using noninvasive *E. coli* as the “permeability probe,” since the passage of bacteria across the epithelium may be a critical component of inflammatory bowel disease (1). Corroborating earlier studies (7, 42), we show that indomethacin has a significant direct detrimental effect on the barrier function of enteric epithelium, enhancing the transcytosis of the noninvasive, nonotogenic, nonpathogenic *E. coli* strain HB101 (used as commensal organisms). Given the drop in TER, a marker of paracellular permeability, it is difficult to unequivocally designate the route of bacterial transcytosis as transcellular or via the paracellular shunt pathway. However, the following data suggest that at least a portion of the bacteria cross the epithelium via a transcellular route: 1) indomethacin treatment results in a significant increase in intracellular *E. coli*; 2) a portion of the *E. coli* that are internalized by T84 epithelia can “escape” the enterocyte (i.e., not all the bacteria are killed); and 3) the drop in TER is very similar in epithelia treated with *E. coli* and *E. coli +* indomethacin, yet only the latter shows a substantial increase in bacterial transcytosis. Extrapolation from these findings raises two key issues. 1) If indomethacin has the same direct effect on enterocytes in vivo, then a transcellular pathway could be a significant route of entry for luminal bacteria into the gut mucosa. In this context, our finding of indomethacin-induced superoxide generation in whole tissue segments ex vivo is noteworthy. This supposition is supported by studies showing that interferon-γ (41), the inhibitor of oxidative phosphorylation, dinitrophenol (DNP) (24, 31), and *Campylobacter jejuni* (20) promote the internalization of bacteria into model gut epithelia in vitro. 2) The epithelial response to internalized commensal organisms is poorly understood and requires investigation, as it has impli-
cations for epithelial-bacterial interactions and intestinal homeostasis.

Considering the mechanism of indomethacin-induced decreases in epithelial barrier function to bacteria, we did not observe similar events with piroxicam or SC-560, a COX-1/2 and a selective COX-1 inhibitor, respectively. While indomethacin and piroxicam are different classes of NSAIDs, both block prostaglandin synthesis by binding to the ATP pocket in the COX enzymes (34), and both have similar, but not identical, IC50 values for COX in in vitro assays (49), suggesting that inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis is not at the root of the direct effect of indomethacin on epithelial permeability. Furthermore, at equimolar doses, indomethacin is more ulcerogenic than piroxicam and is a more effective uncoupler of oxidative phosphorylation, suggesting that reduction of ATP and elevated levels of ROS are likely paramount in the indomethacin-induced increases in bacterial translocation and internalization (2). epithelial apoptosis is another potential mechanism for the effect of indomethacin, but this is unlikely, as evidence of caspase-3 cleavage and AIF mobilization was not forthcoming. Furthermore, while increased apoptosis would enhance bacterial translocation across an epithelial layer, it would not account for the increase in epithelial bacterial internalization observed here.

A number of reports on indomethacin-induced enteropathy have demonstrated a topical effect on the epithelium, a component of which was perturbed mitochondrial function (4, 6, 26, 43). In accordance with these findings, using the MTT assay as a marker of mitochondrial activity, we found a slight reduction in mitochondrial function in T84 epithelia treated with indomethacin. Previous data show that metabolic stress induced by treatment of T84 cells with DNP is associated with reduced mitochondrial activity, which correlated with reduced expression of tight junction proteins (24, 30), and increased internalization and translocation of E. coli (31). Given the energy dependence of tight junction form and function and endocytotic processes (i.e., paracellular and transcellular permeability), reduced mitochondrial function would result in reduced ATP synthesis, thus contributing to the indomethacin-induced epithelial barrier defect.

A more striking finding was the upregulation of ROS production in epithelial cells treated with E. coli alone or with indomethacin, and in the context of indomethacin, a substantial portion of the ROS could be attributed to mitochondrial production of superoxide. The direct ability of commensal bacteria to provoke epithelial ROS generation was initially surprising, but others reported similar data (21, 32, 44). Moreover, the interface between the commensal flora and the colonic epithelium is important for normal physiology (e.g., vitamin and short-chain fatty acid absorption) and host defense: commensal flora that gain access to the systemic circulation can be life-threatening (1, 10, 12, 13). Thus, ROS generation in response to commensal bacteria may be a means to regulate bacterial growth and may be important signaling molecules in the normal cross talk between the intestinal microbiota and the host. Indeed, short-term (≤30 min) exposure of cultured co-

Fig. 7. Vitamin C blocks indomethacin-induced increases in bacterial translocation and internalization. A: indomethacin-induced increase in bacterial translocation across T84 monolayers at 16 h posttreatment was ameliorated by cotreatment with vitamin C (0.25 mM), a potent ROS scavenger. Values are means ± SE; n = 6 epithelial preparations from 2 independent experiments. *P < 0.01 vs. E. coli (10^6 cfu) and indomethacin (1 μM). B and C: vitamin C (B) and the green tea extract epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG, 100 μM; C) inhibited epithelial internalization of E. coli evoked by indomethacin. Values are means ± SE; n = 11 epithelial preparations from 3 independent experiments. *P < 0.05 vs. E. coli. D: while significantly reducing bacterial internalization and transcytosis, vitamin C had no effect on the drop in TER caused by indomethacin + E. coli. Values are means ± SE; n = 7 epithelial monolayers from 2 independent experiments. *P < 0.001 vs. control (con).
VITAMIN C AND EPITHELIAL BARRIER FUNCTION

Ionic epithelial cells to human commensal E. coli elicited increased ROS production, which mediated degradation of Ικκβα and, hence, activation of ΝFκB (21). Increased bacterial translocation across DNP-treated epithelia was associated with Ικκβα phosphorylation (and, by implication, degradation) and was blocked by a panel of pharmacological inhibitors of ΝFκB activity (23).

Identification of pathophysiological mechanisms is only half of the problem; one must work toward intervention strategies that could reduce disease and restore digestive health. Given the slight drop in mitochondrial activity caused by indomethacin and the potential for ROS activity to be exaggerated by reduced production of endogenous antioxidants (e.g., the mitochondria-associated peroxiredoxin 3) and noting superoxide production by the mitochondria, we tested a role for ROS in the internalization and translocation of bacteria. Addition of vitamin C to the culture well, as expected, quenched the ROS, and this was accompanied by a reduction in indomethacin-evoked increases in E. coli internalization and translocation; a similar effect was observed with EGCG, although, in addition to its antioxidant properties, EGCG has been shown to block a number of intracellular signaling pathways, including NFκB activation (25). These data corroborated recent findings showing that a polyphenol related to EGCG and present in apple peel inhibited indomethacin-induced mitochondrial dysfunction in cultured colonic epithelia (9).

A substantial body of data has been presented in favor of ROS as signaling mediators of autophagy, a process by which internalized bacterial products can be degraded (17). Recent findings have linked NSAID exposure to the induction of autophagy by showing that celecoxib induces increased expression of the autophagosomal protein LC3-II (18). Thus we speculate that one consequence of indomethacin-induced metabolic stress is an autophagy/survival program that results in the uptake of extracellular material. By extrapolation, the access of bacteria to the enterocyte and then the mucosa, if uncontrollable, could have pathophysiological consequences, and so the ability of vitamin C to block indomethacin-induced generation of ROS and the internalization and translocation of E. coli could be of therapeutic value.

We highlight the caveat that in vitro studies assessing the direct effect of indomethacin on epithelial cells cannot recapitulate NSAID-induced enteropathy. Thus the findings presented here should be complemented with a comprehensive in vivo rodent analysis using COX-1, COX-2, and COX-1/2 knockout mice (and ideally with loss of these genes being restricted to the epithelium) and assessing gut permeability, bacterial translocation, and ROS generation. The summation of the in vitro and murine data could lead to translational studies in patients. Nevertheless, our studies show the potential for direct NSAID effects on the epithelium via mitochondria-derived ROS, but as elegantly defined by Wallace and colleagues (33, 48) and others (35, 50, 52), NSAID-induced small bowel damage is a complex process, with maximum enteropathy requiring involvement of the commensal flora and enterohepatic recirculation of the NSAID.

In conclusion, we show that indomethacin, but not piroxicam or SC-560, directly affects epithelial barrier function, promoting the internalization and translocation of noninvasive E. coli across the epithelial layer. This effect of indomethacin is not likely due to its inhibition of COX activity but, rather, is linked to perturbation of mitochondrial activity and, specifically, ROS production. Finally, the data from this model system suggest that antioxidants, and possibly those targeted directly at the mitochondria (29), could be tested as an adjunct therapy along with NSAIDs, allowing the latter to exert their potent anti-inflammatory activity while potentially limiting the unwanted side effect of increased epithelial permeability as gauged by bacterial transcytosis.
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